arXiv:1703.07196v2 [math.AG] 4 Apr 2017

VIRTUAL REFINEMENTS OF THE VAFA-WITTEN FORMULA

LOTHAR GÖTTSCHE AND MARTIJN KOOL

Abstract. We conjecture a formula for the generating function of virtual χ_y-genera of moduli spaces of rank 2 sheaves on minimal surfaces of general type. Specializing this conjecture to virtual Euler characteristics, we recover (part of) a formula of C. Vafa and E. Witten. We also provide variations on our conjecture for blow-ups and surfaces with smooth possibly disconnected canonical divisor.

These virtual χ_y-genera can be written in terms of descendent Donaldson invariants. Using T. Mochizuki's formula, the latter can be expressed in terms of Seiberg-Witten invariants and certain explicit integrals over Hilbert schemes of points. These integrals are governed by seven universal functions, which are determined by their values on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Using localization we calculate these functions up to some order, which allows us to check our conjectures in many cases.

1. Introduction

Let S be a smooth projective complex surface with polarization H. We denote by $M := M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$ the moduli space of rank r Gieseker H-stable torsion free sheaves on S with Chern classes $c_1 \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$, $c_2 \in H^4(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that no rank r strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves with Chern classes c_1, c_2 exist. Then $M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$ is projective. T. Mochizuki [Moc] studied a perfect obstruction theory on M with

$$T^{\mathrm{vir}} = R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_0[1], \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ denotes the universal sheaf on $M \times X$, $\pi : M \times X \to M$ is projection, and $(\cdot)_0$ denotes the trace-free part.

This leads to a virtual cycle on M of degree equal to the virtual dimension

$$\mathrm{vd}(M) = 2rc_2 - (r-1)c_1^2 - (r^2-1)\chi(\mathcal{O}_S). \tag{2}$$

The (algebraic) Donaldson invariants are then obtained by capping certain classes with the virtual cycle

$$\int_{[M]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \tau_{\alpha_1}(\sigma_1) \cdots \tau_{\alpha_m}(\sigma_m),$$

where $\sigma_1, \ldots \sigma_m \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \geq 0$ are the descendence degrees, and the insertions $\tau_{\alpha_i}(\sigma_i)$ are defined in Section 2. One of the main achievements of [Moc] is a beautiful formula expressing (1) for $r = 2$ in terms of Seiberg-Witten invariants of S and certain explicit integrals over $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$, where $S^{[n_i]}$ denotes the Hilbert scheme of n_i points on S. Among other things, this formula was used by the first author, H. Nakajima, and K. Yoshioka to prove the Witten conjecture for algebraic surfaces [GNY3].

We are interested in the virtual χ_y-genus of M defined in [FG]

$$\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M) := \sum_{p \geq 0} (-y)^p \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(M, \Omega_M^{p,\mathrm{vir}}) \in \mathbb{Z}[y],$$

where $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(M,\cdot)$ is virtual holomorphic Euler characteristic and $\Omega_M^{p,\mathrm{vir}} = \Lambda^p(T_M^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee$.[1] We will usually use its shifted version

$$\overline{\chi}_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M) := y^{-\frac{\mathrm{vd}(M)}{2}}\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M),$$

which is a *symmetric* Laurent polynomial in $y^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ [FG, Cor. 4.9]. The virtual Euler characteristic is defined as

$$e^{\mathrm{vir}}(M) := \overline{\chi}_{-1}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M) = \int_{[M]^{\mathrm{vir}}} c_{\mathrm{vd}(M)}(T^{\mathrm{vir}}),$$

where the last equality is the virtual Hopf index theorem [FG, Cor. 4.8]. We are interested in the coefficients of the generating function

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,H,c_1}(x,y) := \sum_{c_2} \overline{\chi}_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2))\, x^{4c_2-c_1^2-3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)},$$

where the power is $\mathrm{vd}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2))$. We will encounter the Dedekind eta function and three of the Jacobi theta functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(q) &= q^{\frac{1}{24}}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n),\\
\theta_1(q,y) &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^n q^{\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2} y^{n+\frac{1}{2}},\\
\theta_2(q,y) &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2} y^{n+\frac{1}{2}},\\
\theta_3(q,y) &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2} y^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We define $\overline{\eta}(q) := q^{-\frac{1}{24}}\eta(q)$ and write the corresponding "Nullwerte" by $\theta_i(q) = \theta_i(q,1)$.

Let S be a surface with $b_1(S) = 0$ and $p_g(S) > 0$. We denote by $\mathrm{SW}(a)$ the Seiberg-Witten invariant of S in class $a \in H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$.[2] A class $a \in H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ is called a Seiberg-Witten basic class when $\mathrm{SW}(a) \neq 0$. Many surfaces only have Seiberg-Witten basic classes 0 and $K_S \neq 0$ with corresponding Seiberg-Witten invariants 1 and $(-1)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$. Examples are minimal surfaces of general type [Mor, Thm. 7.4.1] and surfaces with irreducible canonical divisor [Mor, Cor. 6.8.4].

Conjecture 1.1. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $b_1(S) = 0$ and $p_g(S) > 0$. Suppose the Seiberg-Witten basic classes of S are 0 and $K_S \neq 0$. Let H, c_1, c_2 be chosen such that there exist no rank 2 strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves with Chern classes c_1, c_2. Then $\overline{\chi}_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2))$ is the coefficient of $x^{4c_2-c_1^2-3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$ of*

$$\psi_S(x,y) := 8\left(\frac{1}{2}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-x^{2n})^{10}(1-x^{2n}y)(1-x^{2n}y^{-1})}\right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(x,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{K_S^2}.$$

[1] We denote the K-group generated by locally free sheaves on M by $K^0(M)$. Virtual holomorphic Euler characteristic and the definition of $\Lambda^p E \in K^0(M)$ for an arbitrary element $E \in K^0(M)$ are explained in [FG]. The notation $(-)^\vee$ is for derived dual.

[2] We use Mochizuki's convention, where $\mathrm{SW}(a)$ stands for $\widetilde{\mathrm{SW}}(2a-K_S)$ with $\widetilde{\mathrm{SW}}(b)$ the usual Seiberg-Witten invariant in class $b \in H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$.

In this conjecture, we can view $\psi_S(x,y)$ as generating function for $\overline{\chi}^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2))$ *for all* c_1, c_2. As a consequence of the conjecture, $\mathsf{Z}_{S,H,c_1}(x,y)$ is independent of the choice of polarization H, which we often omit from our notation. There is actually a closed formula for $\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y)$, which we give in Proposition 6.5. Note that the first factor is related to $\theta_1(q,y)$ by the Jacobi triple product

$$\theta_1(q,y) = q^{\frac{1}{4}}(y^{\frac{1}{2}} - y^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{2n})(1-q^{2n}y)(1-q^{2n}y^{-1}).$$

Remark 1.2. Replacing x by $xy^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we go from generating functions for $\overline{\chi}^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}$ to generating functions for χ^{vir}_{-y}. Therefore we also get a conjectural generating function for the non-shifted virtual χ_y-genera of the moduli spaces. Under the same assumptions Conjecture 1.1 gives that $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2))$ is the coefficient of $x^{4c_2-c_1^2-3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$ of

$$\psi_S(xy^{\frac{1}{2}},y) = 8\left(\frac{1}{2}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-x^{2n}y^n)^{10}(1-x^{2n}y^{n+1})(1-x^{2n}y^{n-1})}\right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4y^2)^2}{\theta_3(xy^{\frac{1}{2}},y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{K_S^2},$$

with

$$\overline{\eta}(x^4y^2) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-x^{4n}y^{2n}), \quad \theta_3(xy^{\frac{1}{2}},y^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} y^{\binom{n+1}{2}}x^{n^2}.$$

This formula also makes it evident that $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2))$ is a polynomial.

Next, denote by

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x) := \mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,1)$$

the generating function of virtual Euler characteristics. When $-K_S$ is numerically equivalent to an effective divisor or $-K_SH > 0$, these are just ordinary topological Euler characteristics because the obstructions vanish and the moduli space is smooth. In this case $\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x)$ was studied by many people, e.g. [Kly, Got1, Got2, Yos1, Yos2, Yos3]. Conjecture 1.1 implies the following formula which follows by specializing to $y=1$.

Corollary 1.3 (Proposition 6.5)**.** *Assume Conjecture 1.1. Let S be a smooth projective surface with $b_1(S)=0$ and $p_g(S)>0$. Suppose the Seiberg-Witten basic classes of S are 0 and $K_S \neq 0$. Let H, c_1 be chosen such that there exist no rank 2 Gieseker strictly H-semistable sheaves with first Chern class c_1. Then*

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x) = 2\sum_{k=0}^{3}\frac{(i^k)^{c_1^2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}}{(2\overline{\eta}((-1)^kx^2)^{12})^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}}\left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(i^kx)}\right)^{K_S^2}.$$

From the definition (3) we see that $\theta_3(i^kx) = \theta_3(x^4) + i^k\theta_2(x^4)$. Therefore we can rewrite the formula for $\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x)$ of Corollary 1.3 as

(4)

$$\frac{2}{(2\overline{\eta}(x^2)^{12})^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}}\left\{\left(\frac{\theta_3(x^4)+\theta_2(x^4)}{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}\right)^{-K_S^2} + (-1)^{c_1^2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{\theta_3(x^4)-\theta_2(x^4)}{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}\right)^{-K_S^2}\right\}$$

$$+\frac{2(-i)^{c_1^2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}}{(2\overline{\eta}(-x^2)^{12})^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}}\left\{\left(\frac{\theta_3(x^4)-i\theta_2(x^4)}{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}\right)^{-K_S^2} + (-1)^{c_1^2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{\theta_3(x^4)+i\theta_2(x^4)}{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}\right)^{-K_S^2}\right\}.$$

In [VW], C. Vafa and E. Witten study certain invariants related to S-duality. On $\mathbb{P}^2$ their invariants are topological Euler characteristics $e(M)$. For surfaces S with smooth

connected canonical divisor, they give a formula for their invariants [VW, Eqn. (5.38)]. Equation (4) coincides with *part of* their formula, namely all except the first two terms of [VW, Eqn. (5.38)] and up to an overall factor $x^{-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)+K_S^2/3}$ coming from our choice of normalization.[3]

Remark 1.4. By work of S. Donaldson, D. Gieseker and J. Li, and others, if we fix S and the ample class H, then $M := M_S^H(2, c_1, c_2)$ is irreducible and generically smooth of the expected dimension as long as $\mathrm{vd} = 4c_2 - c_1^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ is large enough. It is also normal and lci. See [HL, Chap. 9] for references. In this case [FG, Thm. 4.15] implies that $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(M)$ is the degree of the Fulton Chern class $c_F(M)$, which agrees with the Euler number of any smoothening of M, and Corollary 1.3 predicts this number. More generally, all virtual Chern numbers of M coincide with the corresponding Chern numbers of any smoothening [FG, Rem. 4.16]. In particular the virtual χ_y-genus of M equals the χ_y-genus of any smoothening and Conjecture 1.1 predicts these genera.

Remark 1.5. Recently, Y. Tanaka and R. P. Thomas [TT1] defined a symmetric perfect obstruction theory on the moduli space of stable Higgs pairs (E, ϕ) on S, where E has fixed determinant and ϕ is trace-free.[4] Stable Higgs pairs are related by a Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence to solutions of the Vafa-Witten equations. There is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-scaling action on the the Higgs field and Tanaka-Thomas define "SU(r) Vafa-Witten invariants" by virtual localization with respect to this action. They show that the contribution to the invariant of the components corresponding to $\phi = 0$ are precisely the virtual Euler characteristics $\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x)$ that we study (though Tanaka-Thomas's invariants are defined for any rank). Moreover in the rank 2 case and for S with smooth connected canonical divisor and $b_1(S) = 0$, they conjecture that the contribution of the *other* components of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed locus corresponds to the first two terms of [VW, Eqn. (5.38)]. Recall that these are precisely the two terms that we do not see. They gather evidence for this by computing the contributions of these other components to low order. Therefore by the calculations of this paper and their conjecture, their invariant indeed appear to be the correct mathematical definition of Vafa-Witten's invariants [VW, Eqn. (5.38)]. Also recently, A. Gholampour, A. Sheshmani, and S.-T. Yau studied Donaldson-Thomas invariants of local surfaces [GSY]. Their invariants are closely related to Tanaka-Thomas's invariants. The virtual Euler characteristics that we calculate are part of their invariants as well.

We approach Conjecture 1.1 as follows:

- We use the virtual Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula [FG, Cor. 3.4] to express $\overline{\chi}_{-y}(M)$ in terms of certain descendent Donaldson invariants (Proposition 2.1).
- We apply Mochizuki's formula to these descendent Donaldson invariants. This expresses $\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x, y)$ in terms of Seiberg-Witten invariants of S and certain integrals over $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$. Although Mochizuki's formula requires $p_g(S) > 0$, these integrals make sense for *any* surface S.
- We show that the integrals over $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ can be expressed in terms of seven universal series $A_1, \ldots, A_7 \in 1 + q\,\mathbb{Q}(s)[y][[q]]$ (Proposition 3.3).
- The universal series A_i are entirely determined by their values on $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We calculate $A_i(s, y, q)$ to order q^7 and $A_i(s, 1, q)$ to order q^{30} by Atiyah-Bott localization (Section 4 and Appendix A).

[3] Their formula is missing a factor $(-i)^{-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$ in front of the final two terms, apparently a misprint.

[4] In [TT1], Tanaka-Thomas consider the case where Gieseker semistability and stability of Higgs pairs coincide. They treat the semistable case in a separate paper [TT2].

- We then verify Conjecture 1.1 up to a certain order in x in the following cases: blow-ups $\mathrm{Bl}_p K3$, double covers of $\mathbb{P}^2$, double covers of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, double covers of Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathbb{F}_a$, surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$, $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and complete intersections in $\mathbb{P}^4$ and $\mathbb{P}^5$ (Section 7).

The reduction to toric surfaces also allows us to express $\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y)$ in terms of the Nekrasov partition function (Section 5). We give evidence for the weak Nekrasov conjecture in this setting (Remark 5.3). Assuming the weak Nekrasov conjecture, $\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y)$ can be expressed in terms of four universal series F_0, H, G_1, G_2 (Remark 5.3). Besides Conjecture 1.1 we present two more conjectures:

- Conjecture 6.1 is purely numerical and can be seen as a statement about intersection numbers on Hilbert schemes of points. Together with a strong form of Mochizuki's formula (Remark 3.5), Conjecture 6.1 implies Conjecture 1.1 (Proposition 6.3). In addition, the strong form of Mochizuki's formula and Conjecture 6.1 imply a version of Conjecture 1.1 for *arbitrary* blow-ups of surfaces S with $b_1(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) > 0$, and Seiberg-Witten basic classes 0 and $K_S \neq 0$ (Proposition 6.6). We test Conjecture 6.1 in many cases in Section 7.
- Conjecture 6.7 is a variation on Conjecture 1.1 for surfaces S with $b_1(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) > 0$, and smooth possibly disconnected (and possibly empty) canonical divisor. For $y = 1$, this conjecture specializes to another formula of Vafa-Witten [VW, (5.45)]. If the canonical divisor is connected, then Conjecture 6.7 is part of Conjecture 1.1. We check Conjecture 6.7 up to a certain order in x in the following cases (other than the cases above): K3 surfaces, blow-ups $\mathrm{Bl}_p \mathrm{Bl}_q K3$, and elliptic surfaces $E(n)$ for various $n \geq 4$. Conjecture 6.7 implies a blow-up formula whose $y = 1$ specialization also appears in [VW] and which takes the same form as a blow-up formula of W.-P. Li and Z. Qin (Proposition 6.8).

In a forthcoming work [GK] we will extend these results and conjectures first to other genera, like the twisted χ_y-genus and the elliptic genus, and finally to the virtual cobordism classes of the moduli spaces.

Besides Mochizuki's formula [Moc], this paper uses ideas from [GNY1, GNY2, GNY3]. We note that the physics approach to the calculation of elliptic genera of instanton moduli spaces was discussed in N. Nekrasov's PhD thesis [Nek1] and the papers [LNS, BLN]. See also [LLZ] for applications to Gopakumar-Vafa invariants.

Acknowledgements. We thank Richard Thomas for suggesting to look at Mochizuki's book in the context of e^{vir} many years ago. The first-named author thanks Hiraku Nakajima and Kōta Yoshioka for collaboration and many useful discussions on instanton counting and Mochizuki's formula over many years. The second author was supported by Marie Skłodowska-Curie IF 656898.

2. Mochizuki's formula

Let S be a smooth projective surface with polarization H. Denote by $M := M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$ the moduli space of rank r Gieseker H-stable torsion free sheaves on S with Chern classes c_1, c_2. We assume there are no rank r strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves with Chern classes c_1, c_2. Then $M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$ is projective. In this section, we first show that $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M)$ can be expressed in terms of descendent Donaldson invariants. We then recall Mochizuki's formula [Moc] and apply it to our setting.

We start with some notation. Let $\sigma \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, then we define

$$\tau_\alpha(\sigma) := \pi_{M*}\big(\operatorname{ch}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}) \cap \pi_S^* \sigma\big),$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ denotes the universal sheaf on $M \times S$, and $\pi_M : M \times S \to M$ and $\pi_S : M \times S \to S$ denote projections. We refer to $\tau_\alpha(\sigma)$ as a descendent insertion of descendence degree α. The insertions $\tau_0(\sigma)$ are called primary insertions.

Next, we introduce some further notation which will be useful later. Suppose X is any projective $\mathbb{C}$-scheme and E a vector bundle on X, then we define

$$\Lambda_y E := \sum_{p=0}^{\mathrm{rk}(E)} [\Lambda^p E]\, y^p \in K^0(X)[[y]].$$

This element is invertible in $K^0(X)[[y]]$ allowing us to define $\Lambda_y E$ for any element E of $K^0(X)$ by extension. Next, for any element E of $K^0(X)$ we define

$$\mathsf{T}_y(E,t) := t^{-\operatorname{rk} E} \sum_k \left\{ \mathrm{ch}(\Lambda_y E^\vee)\, \mathrm{td}(E) \right\}_k t^k, \tag{5}$$

where $\{\cdot\}_k \in A^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ selects the degree k part in the Chow group. Since we have

$$\Lambda_y(E_1 + E_2) = \Lambda_y E_1 \otimes \Lambda_y E_2,$$

the standard properties of Chern characters and Todd classes give

$$\mathsf{T}_y(E_1 + E_2, t) = \mathsf{T}_y(E_1, t)\, \mathsf{T}_y(E_2, t). \tag{6}$$

This multiplicative property will be crucial in Section 3. We also note that for a line bundle L on X with $c_1(L) = x$, we have

$$\mathsf{T}_y(L,t) = \frac{x(1 + ye^{-xt})}{1 - e^{-xt}}. \tag{7}$$

The variable t can be exploited for a convenient normalization. Indeed if we take $t = 1+y$, then equations (6) and (7) imply

$$\mathsf{T}_y(E - \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, 1+y) = \mathsf{T}_y(E, 1+y) \tag{8}$$

for all $r \geq 0$. This will be used in Section 3 as well. Another convenient consequence of the specialization $t = 1 + y$ is that $\mathsf{T}_y(E, 1+y)$ is a *polynomial* in $1 + y$. Moreover, its leading coefficient is given by

$$\mathsf{T}_y(E, 1+y)\Big|_{y=-1} = c_\bullet(E), \tag{9}$$

where $c_\bullet(\cdot)$ denotes total Chern class. This essentially follows from [FG, Thm. 4.5(c)].

We go back to $M := M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$. The next proposition involves an argument that appears more generally in the context of stable pairs on 3-folds in [She] (see also [Pan]).

Proposition 2.1. *For every S, H, r, c_1, c_2 as above, there exists a polynomial expression $P(\mathcal{E})$ in certain descendent insertions $\tau_\alpha(\sigma)$ and y such that*

$$\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)) = \int_{[M_S^H(r,c_1,c_2)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} P(\mathcal{E}).$$

Proof. By the virtual Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem [FG, Cor. 3.4]

$$\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M) = \int_{[M]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathsf{T}_{-y}(T^{\mathrm{vir}}, 1-y),$$

where $\mathsf{T}_{-y}(T^{\mathrm{vir}}, 1-y)$ can be expressed as a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of monomials in $c_i(T^{\mathrm{vir}})$ and y.[5] By (1) and Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch, each $c_i(T^{\mathrm{vir}})$ can be expressed as a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of monomials in

$$\pi_{M*}\big(\operatorname{ch}_\alpha(\mathcal{E})\operatorname{ch}_\beta(\mathcal{E}) \cap \pi_S^*\sigma\big), \tag{10}$$

where σ is one of the components of $\operatorname{td}(S)$. Therefore it suffices to show that every expression of the form (10) is a polynomial in descendent insertions. This will then define the universal polynomial $P(\mathcal{E})$.

Let π_{ij} and π_i be the projections from $M \times S \times S$ to factors (i,j) and i respectively. Then (10) equals

$$\pi_{1*}\big(\pi_{12}^*\operatorname{ch}_\alpha(\mathcal{E})\ \pi_{13}^*\operatorname{ch}_\beta(\mathcal{E}) \cap \pi_2^*\sigma\ \pi_{23}^*\Delta\big), \tag{11}$$

where $\Delta \in H^4(S\times S, \mathbb{Q})$ is (Poincaré dual to) the class of the diagonal. Next we consider the Künneth decomposition

$$\Delta = \sum_{i+j=4} \theta_1^{(i)} \otimes \theta_2^{(j)},$$

where $\theta_1^{(i)} \in H^i(S,\mathbb{Q})$ and $\theta_2^{(j)} \in H^j(S,\mathbb{Q})$. Substituting this decomposition into (11), factoring the push-forward as $\pi_1 = \pi_M \circ \pi_{12}$, and applying the projection formula gives

$$\pi_{M*}\big(\operatorname{ch}_\alpha(\mathcal{E})\ \operatorname{ch}_\beta(\mathcal{E}) \cap \pi_S^*\sigma\big) = \sum_{i+j=4} \tau_\alpha(\sigma\theta_1^{(i)})\,\tau_\beta(\theta_2^{(j)}). \qquad \square$$

Remark 2.2. Note that in this section (and the next) we use χ_{-y}^{vir} instead of $\overline{\chi}_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}$ as in Conjecture 1.1. The reason is that the intermediate formulae of this and the next section look slightly easier for χ_{-y}^{vir} whereas the final formula of Conjecture 1.1 looks more elegant for $\overline{\chi}_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}$. As mentioned in the introduction, one can easily go from generating functions for χ_{-y}^{vir} to generating functions of $\overline{\chi}_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}$ by replacing x by $xy^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Next we recall Mochizuki's formula [Moc, Thm. 1.4.6]. His formula is derived by a beautiful argument involving *geometric wall-crossing* for moduli spaces of so-called Bradlow pairs depending on a stability parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. For $\alpha \to \infty$ the moduli spaces are empty and for $\alpha \to 0^+$ the moduli space is a projective bundle over M. On a wall, Mochizuki uses a "master space" with $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, whose fixed locus contains components corresponding to the moduli spaces of Bradlow pairs on either side of the wall. Other components of the fixed locus can be seen as contributions from wall-crossing. They lead to the Seiberg-Witten invariants and integrals over $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ described below. These wall-crossing terms are computed by Graber-Pandharipande's virtual localization on the master space. This geometric wall-crossing is very different from *motivic wall-crossing*, as in [Joy], which does not work for ample K_S due to non-vanishing Ext^2. Among other things, Mochizuki's formula was used in [GNY3] to prove Witten's conjecture for algebraic surfaces.

There are two ingredients for Mochizuki's formula. The first ingredient is the Seiberg-Witten invariants $\mathrm{SW}(a)$ of S in class $a \in H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ mentioned in the introduction.

The second ingredient is certain integrals over products of Hilbert schemes of points. On $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]} \times S$ we have the pull-backs of the universal ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_1$, $\mathcal{I}_2$ from $S^{[n_1]} \times S$, $S^{[n_2]} \times S$. For any line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(S)$ we denote by $L^{[n_i]}$ the tautological vector bundle on $S^{[n_i]}$ defined by

$$L^{[n_i]} := p_* q^* L,$$

[5] The specialization $t = 1-y$ plays no role in this proof.

where $p : \mathcal{Z}_i \to S^{[n_i]}$, $q : \mathcal{Z}_i \to S$ are projections from the universal subscheme $\mathcal{Z}_i \subset S^{[n_i]} \times S$.

We consider $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ to be endowed with a trivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-action and we denote the generator of the character group by $\mathfrak{s}$.[6] Moreover we write s for the generator of

$$H^*(B\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Q}) = H^*_{\mathbb{C}^*}(pt, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[s].$$

Let $P(\mathcal{E})$ be *any* polynomial in descendent insertions $\tau_\alpha(\sigma)$. For any $a_1, a_2 \in A^1(S)$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, Mochizuki defines $\Psi(a_1, a_2, n_1, n_2)$ as follows

$$\text{Coeff}_{s^0}\left(\frac{P(\mathcal{I}_1(a_1) \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{I}_2(a_2) \otimes \mathfrak{s})}{Q(\mathcal{I}_1(a_1) \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-1}, \mathcal{I}_2(a_2) \otimes \mathfrak{s})} \frac{\text{Eu}(\mathcal{O}(a_1)^{[n_1]})\, \text{Eu}(\mathcal{O}(a_2)^{[n_2]} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^2)}{(2s)^{n_1+n_2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}} \right). \tag{12}$$

Let us explain this notation. Here $\text{Eu}(\cdot)$ denotes $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant Euler class and Coeff_{s^0} refers to taking the coefficient of s^0.[7] The notation $\mathcal{I}_i(a_i)$ is short-hand for $\mathcal{I}_i \otimes \pi_S^* \mathcal{O}(a_i)$. Furthermore, for any $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant sheaves $\mathcal{E}_1$, $\mathcal{E}_2$ on $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]} \times S$ flat over $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$, Mochizuki defines

$$Q(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) := \text{Eu}(-R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) - R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_1)),$$

where $\pi : S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]} \times S \to S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ denotes projection and

$$R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\cdot, \cdot) := R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, \cdot).$$

Finally, $P(\cdot)$ is the expression obtained from $P(\mathcal{E})$ by formally replacing $\mathcal{E}$ by $\cdot$. We define

$$\widetilde{\Psi}(a_1, a_2, n_1, n_2, s)$$

by expression (12) but *without* applying Coeff_{s^0}.

Next let c_1, c_2 be a choice of Chern classes and let $\text{ch} = (2, c_1, \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 - c_2)$ denote the corresponding Chern character. For any decomposition $c_1 = a_1 + a_2$, Mochizuki defines

$$\mathcal{A}(a_1, a_2, c_2) := \sum_{n_1+n_2 = c_2 - a_1 a_2} \int_{S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}} \Psi(a_1, a_2, n_1, n_2). \tag{13}$$

We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, c_2, s)$ the same expression with Ψ replaced by $\widetilde{\Psi}$.

Theorem 2.3 (Mochizuki)**.** *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $b_1(S) = 0$ and $p_g(S) > 0$. Let H, c_1, c_2 be chosen such that there exist no rank 2 strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves with Chern classes c_1, c_2. Suppose the following conditions hold:*

(i) *$\chi(\text{ch}) > 0$, where $\chi(\text{ch}) := \int_S \text{ch} \cdot \text{td}(S)$ and $\text{ch} = (2, c_1, \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 - c_2)$.*
(ii) *$p_{\text{ch}} > p_{K_S}$, where p_{ch} and p_{K_S} are the reduced Hilbert polynomials associated to ch and K_S.*
(iii) *For all Seiberg-Witten basic classes a_1 satisfying $a_1 H \leq (c_1 - a_1)H$ the inequality is strict.*

Then

$$\int_{[M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2)]^{\text{vir}}} P(\mathcal{E}) = -2^{1-\chi(\text{ch})} \sum_{\substack{c_1 = a_1 + a_2 \\ a_1 H < a_2 H}} \text{SW}(a_1)\, \mathcal{A}(a_1, a_2, c_2).$$

[6] This action originates from the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on the master space mentioned above.

[7] This differs slightly from Mochizuki who uses $p_g(S)$ instead of $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ and takes a residue. Consequently our definition differs by a factor 2 from Mochizuki's. However, Mochizuki considers the moduli stack of oriented sheaves which maps to M via a degree $\frac{1}{2} : 1$ étale morphism. See also [GNY3, Rem. 4.2].

Remark 2.4. Mochizuki's formula (Theorem 2.3) holds without the assumption that there are no strictly H-Gieseker semistable sheaves and without assumption (iii). Then $\int_{[M]^{\mathrm{vir}}} P(\mathcal{E})$ is defined via the moduli space of oriented reduced Bradlow pairs [Moc, Def. 7.3.2]. In this more general setup the definition of $\mathcal{A}(a_1, a_2, c_2)$ has to be modified slightly: when $a_1 H = a_2 H$ the sum in (13) is over all $n_1 > n_2$ satisfying $n_1 + n_2 = c_2 - a_1 a_2$.

Remark 2.5. It is conjectured in [GNY3] that assumptions (ii) and (iii) can be dropped from Theorem 2.3 and the sum can be replaced by a sum over *all* Seiberg-Witten basic classes. We will see in our computations that assumption (i) is necessary.

Remark 2.6. Let the setup be as in Theorem 2.3. Then

$$\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M_S^H(2, c_1, c_2)) = -2^{1-\chi(\mathrm{ch})} \sum_{\substack{c_1 = a_1 + a_2 \\ a_1 H < a_2 H}} \mathrm{SW}(a_1)\, \mathcal{A}(a_1, a_2, c_2),$$

where $P(\mathcal{E})$ is determined by the following expression

$$P(\mathcal{E}) := \mathsf{T}_{-y}(-R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_0, 1 - y)$$

with $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{I}_1(a_1) \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{I}_2(a_2) \otimes \mathfrak{s}$. We note that the rank of

$$-R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{I}_1(a_1) \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{I}_2(a_2) \otimes \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{I}_1(a_1) \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{I}_2(a_2) \otimes \mathfrak{s})_0$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + (2n_1 + 2n_2 - 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)) + (n_1 + n_2 - \chi(\mathcal{O}(a_2 - a_1))) \\ &\qquad + (n_1 + n_2 - \chi(\mathcal{O}(a_1 - a_2))) \\ &= 4c_2 - c_1^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S), \end{aligned}$$

which equals the rank of T^{vir} given by (2).

3. Universality

In this section S is *any* smooth projective surface. We start with a well-known lemma, which we include for completeness.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\pi : S^{[n]} \times S \to S^{[n]}$ denote projection. Then*

$$-R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})_0 \cong \mathscr{E}xt_\pi^1(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})_0 \cong T_{S^{[n]}},$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ denotes the universal ideal sheaf and $T_{S^{[n]}}$ denotes the tangent bundle.

Proof. Since $\mathscr{E}xt_\pi^1(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})_0 \cong T_{S^{[n]}}$, it suffices to show that for any ideal sheaf $I = I_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_S$ we have $\mathrm{Hom}(I, I)_0 = \mathrm{Ext}^2(I, I)_0 = 0$. Clearly $\mathrm{Hom}(I, I)_0 = 0$ because I is simple.

Next we consider the trace map

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(I, I) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_S).$$

First applying $- \otimes K_S$ and then $\mathrm{Hom}(I, \cdot)$ to

$$0 \to I \to \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to 0 \tag{14}$$

gives a long exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(I, I \otimes K_S) \to \mathrm{Hom}(I, K_S) \to \mathrm{Hom}(I, K_S|_Z) \to \cdots.$$

The natural map $H^0(K_S) \to \mathrm{Hom}(I, I \otimes K_S)$ is Serre dual to the trace map, so our goal is to show that this map is an isomorphism. It is enough to show that the restriction map $H^0(K_S) \to \mathrm{Hom}(I, K_S)$, which factors through $\mathrm{Hom}(I, I \otimes K_S)$, is an isomorphism. This in turn can be seen by applying $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, K_S)$ to (14) and using that Z is 0-dimensional. □

Our main object of study is the following generating function.

Definition 3.2. For any a in the Chow group $A^1(S)$ we abbreviate $\chi(a) := \chi(\mathcal{O}(a))$. For any $a_1, c_1 \in A^1(S)$ we define

$$\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) := \sum_{n_1,n_2\geq 0} q^{n_1+n_2} \int_{S^{[n_1]}\times S^{[n_2]}} \frac{\mathsf{T}^{\mathbb{C}^*}_{-y}(E_{n_1,n_2}, 1-y)\,\mathrm{Eu}(\mathcal{O}(a_1)^{[n_1]})\,\mathrm{Eu}(\mathcal{O}(c_1-a_1)^{[n_2]}\otimes\mathfrak{s}^2)}{\mathrm{Eu}(E_{n_1,n_2}-\pi_1^*T_{S^{[n_1]}}-\pi_2^*T_{S^{[n_2]}})},$$

where $\mathsf{T}^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ denotes the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant analog of (5) and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n_1,n_2} := \pi_1^*T_{S^{[n_1]}} + \pi_2^*T_{S^{[n_2]}} &+ \chi(c_1-2a_1)\otimes\mathcal{O}\otimes\mathfrak{s}^2 - R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{I}_1(a_1),\mathcal{I}_2(c_1-a_1))\otimes\mathfrak{s}^2 \\ &+ \chi(2a_1-c_1)\otimes\mathcal{O}\otimes\mathfrak{s}^{-2} - R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{I}_2(c_1-a_1),\mathcal{I}_1(a_1))\otimes\mathfrak{s}^{-2} \\ = \pi_1^*T_{S^{[n_1]}} + \pi_2^*T_{S^{[n_2]}} &+ \mathcal{O}(c_1-2a_1)^{[n_2]}\otimes\mathfrak{s}^2 + \mathcal{O}(2a_1-c_1+K_S)^{[n_1]*}\otimes\mathfrak{s}^2 \\ &- R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_1}(a_1),\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_2}(c_1-a_1))\otimes\mathfrak{s}^2 \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(2a_1-c_1)^{[n_1]}\otimes\mathfrak{s}^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(c_1-2a_1+K_S)^{[n_2]*}\otimes\mathfrak{s}^{-2} \\ &- R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_2}(c_1-a_1),\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_1}(a_1))\otimes\mathfrak{s}^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used Serre duality and $(\cdot)^*$ denotes the dual vector bundle. The complex E_{n_1,n_2} has rank $4n_1+4n_2$. Note that

$$\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) \in 1 + q\,\mathbb{Q}(s)[y][[q]]. \tag{15}$$

For $y=1$, we obtain

$$\mathsf{T}^{\mathbb{C}^*}_{-y}(E_{n_1,n_2}, 1-y)\Big|_{y=1} = c_\bullet^{\mathbb{C}^*}(E_{n_1,n_2})$$

by (9). Moreover

$$\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1, c_1, s, 1, q) \in 1 + q\,\mathbb{Q}[s^{\pm}][[q]].$$

Suppose we have a decomposition $c_1 = a_1 + a_2$. Then Corollary 2.6 implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{c_2\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, c_1-a_1, c_2, s)\,q^{c_2} = \mathsf{Z}_S\Big(a_1, c_1, s, y, \frac{q}{2s}\Big) \\ &\times (2s)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)} \left(\frac{1-e^{-2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{-2s(1-y)}}\right)^{\chi(c_1-2a_1)} \left(\frac{1-e^{2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{2s(1-y)}}\right)^{\chi(2a_1-c_1)} q^{a_1(c_1-a_1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Besides Corollary 2.6, this equality uses the following facts: Lemma 3.1, equation (6), equation (7), and equation (8). For $y=1$ the second line of (16) simplifies to

$$(2s)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)} \left(\frac{2s}{1+2s}\right)^{\chi(c_1-2a_1)} \left(\frac{-2s}{1-2s}\right)^{\chi(2a_1-c_1)} q^{a_1(c_1-a_1)}.$$

Proposition 3.3. *There exist universal functions*

$$A_1(s,y,q), \ldots, A_7(s,y,q) \in 1 + q\,\mathbb{Q}(s)[y][[q]]$$

such that for any smooth projective surface S and $a_1, c_1 \in A^1(S)$ we have

$$\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) = A_1^{a_1^2}\,A_2^{a_1c_1}\,A_3^{c_1^2}\,A_4^{a_1K_S}\,A_5^{c_1K_S}\,A_6^{K_S^2}\,A_7^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}.$$

The universal functions have the property that

$$A_1(s,1,q), \ldots, A_7(s,1,q) \in 1 + q\,\mathbb{Q}[s^{\pm}][[q]].$$

Proof. **Step 1: Universality.** For any smooth projective surface S, define $S_2 := S \sqcup S$ and denote by $S_2^{[n]}$ the Hilbert scheme of n points on S_2. Then

$$S_2^{[n]} = \bigsqcup_{n_1+n_2=n} S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}.$$

We endow $S_2^{[n]}$ with trivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Denote by $\mathcal{I}_1$, $\mathcal{I}_2$ the sheaves on $S_2^{[n]}$ whose restriction to $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ are the sheaves $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ we considered earlier. Denote by $L(a_1, c_1)$ the vector bundle whose restriction to $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ is

$$\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}(a_1)^{[n_1]} \oplus \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}(c_1 - a_1)^{[n_2]} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^2.$$

Let X be any polynomial in

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_{i_1}^{\mathbb{C}^*}(R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{I}_1(a_1), \mathcal{I}_2(c_1 - a_1)) \otimes \mathfrak{s}^2),\\
&c_{i_2}^{\mathbb{C}^*}(R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{I}_2(c_1 - a_1), \mathcal{I}_1(a_1)) \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-2}),\\
&c_{i_3}(T_{S_2^{[n]}}),\\
&c_{i_4}^{\mathbb{C}^*}(L(a_1, c_1)),
\end{aligned}$$

for $i_1, \dots, i_4 \geq 0$ and coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(s)[y]$. Then there exists a polynomial Y in a_1^2, a_1c_1, c_1^2, a_1K_S, c_1K_S, K_S^2, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(s)[y]$ such that

$$\int_{S_2^{[n]}} X = Y,$$

for any smooth projective surface S and $a_1, c_1 \in A^1(S)$. This is essentially [GNY1, Lem. 5.5], which in turn is an adaptation of [EGL].

We conclude that for each $n \geq 0$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a universal polynomial $Y_{n,i}$ in a_1^2, a_1c_1, c_1^2, a_1K_S, c_1K_S, K_S^2, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ such that

$$\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_i Y_{n,i} s^i q^n,$$

for all S, a_1, c_1. The coefficient of q^0 is 1 by (15). Hence there exists a universal power series

$$G \in \mathbb{Q}(s)[y, x_1, \dots, x_7][[q]],$$

such that

$$\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) = \exp G(a_1^2, a_1c_1, c_1^2, a_1K_S, c_1K_S, K_S^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S))$$

for all S, a_1, c_1.

Step 2: Multiplicativity. Let $S = S' \sqcup S''$, where S', S'' are not necessarily connected smooth projective surfaces. Let $a_1, c_1 \in A^1(S)$ be such that

$$a_1|_{S'} = a_1',\ c_1|_{S'} = c_1',\ a_1|_{S''} = a_1'',\ c_1|_{S''} = c_1''.$$

Then

$$S_2^{[n]} = \bigsqcup_{n_1+n_2=n} S_2'^{[n_1]} \times S_2''^{[n_2]}.$$

Let $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_1' \boxplus \mathcal{I}_1''$, $\mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_2' \boxplus \mathcal{I}_2''$. As observed in [GNY1], we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
&R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{I}_1(a_1), \mathcal{I}_2(c_1 - a_1)) =\\
&R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{I}_1'(a_1'), \mathcal{I}_2'(c_1' - a_1')) \boxplus R\mathcal{H}om_\pi(\mathcal{I}_1''(a_1''), \mathcal{I}_2''(c_1'' - a_1''))
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly with $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ interchanged. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} T_{S_2^{[n]}} &= \bigoplus_{n_1+n_2=n} T_{S_2'^{[n_1]}} \boxplus T_{S_2''^{[n_2]}} \\ L(a_1,c_1) &= L(a_1',c_1') \boxplus L(a_1'',c_1''), \\ \chi(c_1-2a_1) &= \chi(c_1'-2a_1') + \chi(c_1''-2a_1''), \\ \chi(2a_1-c_1) &= \chi(2a_1'-c_1') + \chi(2a_1''-c_1''), \\ a_1(c_1-a_1) &= a_1'(c_1'-a_1') + a_1''(c_1''-a_1''). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathrm{Eu}(\cdot)$ and $\mathsf{T}^{\mathbb{C}^*}_{-y}(\cdot,1-y)$ are both group homomorphisms from $(K^0_{\mathbb{C}^*}(M),+)$ to $(A^*_{\mathbb{C}^*}(M)_{\mathbb{Q}}[y],\cdot)$, where the subscript $\mathbb{C}^*$ indicates the equivariant setting. For $\mathsf{T}^{\mathbb{C}^*}_{-y}(\cdot,1-y)$ this crucial multiplicative property is equation (6). Therefore

$$\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1,c_1,s,y,q) = \mathsf{Z}_{S'}(a_1',c_1',s,q)\,\mathsf{Z}_{S''}(a_1'',c_1'',s,y,q).$$

The same reasoning as in [GNY1] gives the universal functions $A_1,\dots,A_7$. □

For a 7-tuple $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4,\alpha_5,\alpha_6,\alpha_7) \in \mathbb{Z}^7$ we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}_{\underline{\alpha}}(s,y,q) := &-2\left(2^{-1}\left(\frac{1-e^{-2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{-2s(1-y)}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1-e^{2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{2s(1-y)}}\right)^2 q^{-1}A_1(s,y,q/s)\right)^{\alpha_1} \\ &\times\left(2\left(\frac{1-e^{-2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{-2s(1-y)}}\right)^{-2}\left(\frac{1-e^{2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{2s(1-y)}}\right)^{-2} qA_2(s,y,q/s)\right)^{\alpha_2} \\ &\times\left(2^{-\frac12}\left(\frac{1-e^{-2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{-2s(1-y)}}\right)^{\frac12}\left(\frac{1-e^{2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{2s(1-y)}}\right)^{\frac12} A_3(s,y,q/s)\right)^{\alpha_3} \\ &\times\left(\left(\frac{1-e^{-2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{-2s(1-y)}}\right)\left(\frac{1-e^{2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{2s(1-y)}}\right)^{-1} A_4(s,y,q/s)\right)^{\alpha_4} \\ &\times\left(2^{\frac12}\left(\frac{1-e^{-2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{-2s(1-y)}}\right)^{-\frac12}\left(\frac{1-e^{2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{2s(1-y)}}\right)^{\frac12} A_5(s,y,q/s)\right)^{\alpha_5} \\ &\times A_6(s,y,q/s)^{\alpha_6} \\ &\times\left(\frac{s}{2}\left(\frac{1-e^{-2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{-2s(1-y)}}\right)\left(\frac{1-e^{2s(1-y)}}{1-ye^{2s(1-y)}}\right)A_7(s,y,q/s)\right)^{\alpha_7}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose S satisfies $b_1(S)=0$ and $p_g(S)>0$. Let H,c_1,c_2 be chosen such that there exist no rank 2 strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves with Chern classes c_1,c_2. Assume furthermore that:*

(i) $c_2 < \frac12 c_1(c_1-K_S)+2\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.
(ii) $p_{\mathrm{ch}} > p_{K_S}$, *where p_{ch} and p_{K_S} are the reduced Hilbert polynomials associated to $\mathrm{ch}=(2,c_1,\frac12 c_1^2-c_2)$ and K_S.*
(iii) *For all SW basic classes a_1 satisfying $a_1H \le (c_1-a_1)H$ the inequality is strict.*

Then

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2)) = \mathrm{Coeff}_{s^0q^{c_2}}\Big[\sum_{\substack{a_1\in H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})\\ a_1H<(c_1-a_1)H}} \mathrm{SW}(a_1)\,\mathsf{A}_{(a_1^2,a_1c_1,c_1^2,a_1K_S,c_1K_S,K_S^2,\chi(\mathcal{O}_S))}(s,y,q)\Big].$$

Proof. This follows from Remark 2.6, equation (16), and Proposition 3.3. □

Remark 3.5. By Remark 2.5, we conjecture that this corollary holds without assuming (ii) and (iii) and that the sum can be replaced by a sum over *all* Seiberg-Witten basic classes. We refer to this as "the strong form of Mochizuki's formula".

4. Toric calculation

The universal functions $A_1, \ldots, A_7$ are entirely determined by the generating function $\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1, c_1, s, y, q)$ in the following cases

$$(S, a_1, c_1) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(1)), (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1)), (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2)),$$
$$(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1,0), \mathcal{O}(1,0)), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1,0)).$$

For these choices the 7×7 matrix with rows

$$(a_1^2, a_1c_1, c_1^2, a_1K_S, c_1K_S, K_S^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S))$$

has full rank. In each of these cases S is a toric surface.

Suppose S is a toric surface with torus $T = \mathbb{C}^{*2}$. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\ldots,e(S)}$ be the cover of maximal T-invariant affine open subsets of S. On U_α we use coordinates x_α, y_α such that T acts with characters of weight $v_\alpha, w_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2$

$$t \cdot (x_\alpha, y_\alpha) = (\chi(v_\alpha)(t)\, x_\alpha, \chi(w_\alpha)(t)\, y_\alpha).$$

Here $\chi(m) : T \to \mathbb{C}^*$ denotes the character of weight $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Consider the integral over $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ of Definition 3.2

$$\int_{S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}} \frac{\mathsf{T}^{\mathbb{C}^*}_{-y}(E_{n_1,n_2}, 1-y)\, \mathrm{Eu}(\mathcal{O}(a_1)^{[n_1]})\, \mathrm{Eu}(\mathcal{O}(c_1-a_1)^{[n_2]} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^2)}{\mathrm{Eu}\big(E_{n_1,n_2} - \pi_1^* T_{S^{[n_1]}} - \pi_2^* T_{S^{[n_2]}}\big)}. \tag{18}$$

Define $\tilde{T} := T \times \mathbb{C}^*$, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ denotes the trivial torus factor of Section 2. The T-fixed locus of $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ is indexed by pairs $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ with

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda^{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha=1,\ldots,e(S)},\ \boldsymbol{\mu} = \{\mu^{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha=1,\ldots,e(S)},$$

where $\lambda^{(\alpha)}$, $\mu^{(\alpha)}$ are 2D partitions such that

$$\sum_\alpha |\lambda^{(\alpha)}| = n_1,\ \sum_\alpha |\mu^{(\alpha)}| = n_2. \tag{19}$$

Here $|\lambda|$ denotes the size of λ. A 2D partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$ corresponds to a monomial ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$

$$I_{Z_\lambda} := (y^{\lambda_1 - 1}, xy^{\lambda_2 - 1}, \ldots, x^{\ell-1}y^{\lambda_\ell - 1}, x^\ell),$$

where $\ell(\lambda) = \ell$ denotes the length of λ. For $\lambda^{(\alpha)}$ we denote the subscheme defined by the corresponding monomial ideal in variables x_α, y_α by $Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}$ and similarly for $\mu^{(\alpha)}$.

In order to apply localization, we make a choice of T-equivariant structure on the line bundles $\mathcal{O}(a_1)$, $\mathcal{O}(c_1 - a_1)$. For any T-equivariant divisor a the restriction $\mathcal{O}(a)|_{U_\alpha}$ is trivial with T-equivariant structure determined by some character of weight $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. By Atiyah-Bott localization the integral (18) equals

$$\sum_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu})} \prod_\alpha \frac{\mathrm{Eu}(H^0(\mathcal{O}(a_1)|_{Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}}))}{\mathrm{Eu}(T_{Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}})} \frac{\mathrm{Eu}(H^0(\mathcal{O}(c_1-a_1)|_{Z_{\mu^{(\alpha)}}}) \otimes \mathfrak{s}^2)}{\mathrm{Eu}(T_{Z_{\mu^{(\alpha)}}})}$$
$$\times \frac{\mathsf{T}^{\tilde{T}}_{-y}(E_{n_1,n_2}|_{(Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}, Z_{\mu^{(\alpha)}})}, 1-y)}{\mathrm{Eu}(E_{n_1,n_2}|_{(Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}, Z_{\mu^{(\alpha)}})} - T_{Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}} - T_{Z_{\mu^{(\alpha)}}})}.$$

Here $\mathrm{Eu}(\cdot)$ denotes $\tilde{T}$-equivariant Euler class, $\mathsf{T}^{\tilde{T}}$ is the $\tilde{T}$-equivariant version of (5), and the sum is over all $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ satisfying (19). The calculation of the above product is reduced to the computation of the following elements of the T-equivariant K-group $K_0^T(pt)$

$$\begin{aligned} &H^0(\mathcal{O}(a)|_{Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}}),\\ &R\,\mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}}),\\ &R\,\mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mu^{(\alpha)}}}(a)), \end{aligned}$$

for various T-equivariant divisors a. By definition we have

$$Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell(\lambda^{(\alpha)})-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_i^{(\alpha)}-1} \chi(v_\alpha)^i\, \chi(w_\alpha)^j$$

and similarly for $Z_{\mu^{(\alpha)}}$. Multiplying by $\chi(a_\alpha)$ gives $H^0(\mathcal{O}(a)|_{Z_{\lambda^{(\alpha)}}})$. Define

$$\overline{\chi(m)} := \chi(-m) = \frac{1}{\chi(m)},$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. This defines an involution on $K_0^T(pt)$ by $\mathbb{Z}$-linear extension.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $W, Z \subset S$ be 0-dimensional T-equivariant subschemes supported on a chart $U_\alpha \subset S$ and let a be a T-equivariant divisor on S corresponding to a character of weight $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ on U_α. Then we have the following equality in $K_0^T(pt)$*

$$R\,\mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathcal{O}_W, \mathcal{O}_Z(a)) = \chi(a_\alpha)\, \overline{W} Z \frac{(1-\chi(v_\alpha))(1-\chi(w_\alpha))}{\chi(v_\alpha)\chi(w_\alpha)}.$$

Proof. The proof we present is similar to the calculation in [MNOP, Sec. 4.7]. Let $v := v_\alpha$, $w := w_\alpha$, and $a := a_\alpha$. Write $U_\alpha = \mathrm{Spec}\ R$ with $R = \mathbb{C}[x_\alpha, y_\alpha]$. Then

$$R\,\mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathcal{O}_W, \mathcal{O}_Z(a)) = R\,\mathrm{Hom}_{U_\alpha}(\mathcal{O}_W, \mathcal{O}_Z(a)),$$

because W, Z are supported on U_α. We claim

$$\Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}(a)) - R\,\mathrm{Hom}_{U_\alpha}(I_W, I_Z(a)) = \chi(a)\Big(Z + \frac{\overline{W}}{\chi(v)\chi(w)} - \overline{W} Z \frac{(1-\chi(v))(1-\chi(w))}{\chi(v)\chi(w)}\Big).$$

The result of the proposition follows from this using $I_Z = \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} - \mathcal{O}_Z$, $I_W = \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} - \mathcal{O}_W$, because the first term on the right-hand side is $H^0(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_Z(a))$ and the second term is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{U_\alpha}(\mathcal{O}_W, \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}(a)) &= H^0(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_W(-a) \otimes K_{U_\alpha})^*\\ &= H^0(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_W)^* \otimes \frac{\chi(a)}{\chi(v)\chi(w)} \end{aligned}$$

by T-equivariant Serre duality. In order to prove the claim, choose T-equivariant graded free resolutions

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \to E_r \to \cdots \to E_0 \to I_W \to 0,\\ &0 \to F_s \to \cdots \to F_0 \to I_Z \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_i = \bigoplus_j R(d_{ij}),\ \ F_i = \bigoplus_j R(e_{ij}).$$

Then we have Poincaré polynomials

$$P_W = \sum_{i,j}(-1)^i\chi(d_{ij}),\ P_Z = \sum_{i,j}(-1)^i\chi(e_{ij}),$$

which are independent of the choice of resolution. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} - I_W \\ &= \frac{1-P_W}{(1-\chi(v))(1-\chi(w))}, \\ Z &= \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} - I_Z \\ &= \frac{1-P_Z}{(1-\chi(v))(1-\chi(w))}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} R\operatorname{Hom}_{U_\alpha}(I_W, I_Z(a)) &= \sum_{i,j,k,l}(-1)^{i+k}\operatorname{Hom}(R(d_{ij}), R(a+e_{kl})) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l}(-1)^{i+k}R(a+e_{kl}-d_{ij}) \\ &= \frac{\chi(a)\overline{P}_W P_Z}{(1-\chi(v))(1-\chi(w))}. \end{aligned}$$

Eliminating P_W, P_Z using (20) gives the desired result. □

We implemented the calculation of (18) into a PARI/GP program (and some parts into Maple as well). This allows us to compute $A_1(s,y,q),\dots,A_7(s,y,q)$ up to order q^7, where we calculated the coefficient of q^i up to order s^{29-3i} . We also calculated $A_1(s,1,q),\dots,A_7(s,1,q)$ up to order q^{30} and any order in s. The latter are listed up to order q^4 in Appendix A.

5. Nekrasov partition function

In Section 4, we considered a toric surface S and reduced $\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1,c_1,s,y,q)$ to a purely combinatorial expression on each toric patch $U_\alpha \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. We now focus on these local contributions on the toric patches.

Suppose that $T = \mathbb{C}^{*2}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^2$ in the standard way

$$(t_1,t_2)\cdot(x,y) = (t_1x, t_2y).$$

The corresponding equivariant parameters are denoted by ϵ_1,ϵ_2. Recall that we also have a trivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-action whose corresponding equivariant parameter was denoted throughout by s (see Section 2). In addition, we introduce two more trivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions whose equivariant parameters are denoted by a_1, c_1. This leads to a total torus

$$T' := \mathbb{C}^{*5}$$

with equivariant parameters $\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,a_1,c_1,s$. We define the refined Nekrasov partition function with one adjoint matter and one fundamental matter as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Z}(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,a_1,c_1,s,y,q) := \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)} q^{|\lambda|+|\mu|}\frac{\operatorname{Eu}(H^0(\mathcal{O}(a_1)|_{Z_\lambda}))}{\operatorname{Eu}(T_{Z_\lambda})}\frac{\operatorname{Eu}(H^0(\mathcal{O}(c_1-a_1)|_{Z_\mu})\otimes\mathfrak{s}^2)}{\operatorname{Eu}(T_{Z_\mu})} \\ \times\frac{\mathsf{T}^{T'}_{-y}(E_{n_1,n_2}|_{(Z_\lambda,Z_\mu)},1-y)}{\operatorname{Eu}(E_{n_1,n_2}|_{(Z_\lambda,Z_\mu)}-T_{Z_\lambda}-T_{Z_\mu})}. \end{aligned}$$

This expression was described *purely combinatorially* in Section 4. Here the sum is over all pairs of 2D partitions (λ, μ).

Remark 5.1. A few comments are in order. Firstly, strictly speaking this is only the instanton part of the Nekrasov partition function. We do not consider the perturbation part. Secondly, the extra torus $\mathbb{C}^{*2}$ with equivariant parameters a_1, c_1 introduced in this section corresponds to the framing action on the instanton moduli space. In other words, the above integral arises as a T'-equivariant integral on the moduli space of pairs (E, Φ), where E is a rank 2 torsion free sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^2$ which is locally free in a neighbourhood of the line at infinity $\ell_\infty \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and

$$\Phi : E|_{\ell_\infty} \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \mathcal{O}_{\ell_\infty}^{\oplus 2}.$$

See [NY1] for a general account on instanton moduli spaces. Since we do not use this viewpoint in this paper, we do not develop it further right now. Thirdly, it is clear from the definition that $\mathsf{Z}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_1, c_1, s, y, q)$ is invariant under $\epsilon_1 \leftrightarrow \epsilon_2$ by considering the contribution of pairs (λ, μ), (λ', μ'), where λ', μ' denote the transposed 2D partitions. Fourthly, we observe that

$$\mathsf{Z}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_1, c_1, s, y, q) \in 1 + q\,\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_1, c_1, s)[y][[q]].$$

Using this notation, for any toric surface S and $a_1, c_1 \in A_T^1(S)$ we have

$$\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{e(S)} \mathsf{Z}(\chi(v_\alpha), \chi(w_\alpha), \chi((a_1)_\alpha), \chi((c_1)_\alpha), s, y, q). \tag{21}$$

Define

$$F(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_1, c_1, s, y, q) := \log \mathsf{Z}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_1, c_1, s, y, q).$$

The Nekrasov conjecture, originally formulated in [Nek2] and studied in various contexts e.g. in [NY2, NO, BE], relates the Nekrasov partition function to the Seiberg-Witten prepotential, typically an expression in terms of periods of a family of elliptic curves. A weak form of the Nekrasov Conjecture in our context is the following.

Conjecture 5.2 (Weak Nekrasov Conjecture)**.** *The series* $\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 F(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_1, c_1, s, y, q)$ *is regular at* $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = (0, 0)$.

Using the fact that $F(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_1, c_1, s, y, q)$ is symmetric under $\epsilon_1 \leftrightarrow \epsilon_2$ and assuming Conjecture 5.2, allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 F(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_1, c_1, s, y, q) = {} & F_0(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) + (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) H(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) \\ & + (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)^2 G_1(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) + \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 G_2(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) + \cdots,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\cdots$ stands for terms of order $\epsilon_1^i \epsilon_2^j$ with $i + j \geq 3$.

Remark 5.3. Using the toric calculation of Section 4, we verified Conjecture 5.2 up to order q^2 and up to order q^3 for the specialization $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2$.

Assume Conjecture 5.2. Let S be a toric surface and $a_1, a_2 \in A_T^1(S)$. Then (21) and localization on S imply

$$\begin{aligned}\log \mathsf{Z}_S(a_1, c_1, s, y, q) = {} & \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial a_1^2}\Big|_{a_1=c_1=0}(a_1^2) + \frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial a_1 \partial c_1}\Big|_{a_1=c_1=0}(a_1 c_1) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial c_1^2}\Big|_{a_1=c_1=0}(c_1^2) \\ & + \frac{\partial H}{\partial a_1}\Big|_{a_1=c_1=0}(a_1 K_S) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial c_1}\Big|_{a_1=c_1=0}(c_1 K_S) + G_1\Big|_{a_1=c_1=0}(K_S^2) + G_2\Big|_{a_1=c_1=0}(c_2(S)).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathsf{Z}_S(a_1, c_1, s, y, q)$ is determined by four universal functions F_0, H, G_1, G_2. We do not know the statement of the strong Nekrasov conjecture in this context, which would be an explicit conjectural formula for F_0, H, G_1, G_2, possibly in terms of periods of a family of elliptic curves, as in many cases studied e.g. in [NY2, NO, BE, GNY3]. One possibility to approach Conjecture 1.1 (and Conjecture 6.1 below), would be via first finding a formulation of the strong Nekrasov conjecture, and then a solution, employing strategies somehow related to the ones used in [NY2, NO, BE, GNY3].

6. Two more conjectures and consequences

In Section 4, we have given a toric procedure to calculate the universal functions $A_1(s, y, q), \ldots, A_7(s, y, q)$ and therefore also $\mathsf{A}_{\underline{\alpha}}(s, y, q)$ defined by (17). Consequently we could now go ahead providing checks of Conjecture 1.1. Instead we first present a stronger "numerical conjecture" which implies both Conjecture 1.1 and a formula for arbitrary blow-ups of surfaces S with $b_1(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) > 0$, and Seiberg-Witten basic classes 0 and $K_S \neq 0$. This stronger conjecture can be seen purely as a statement on Hilbert schemes of points. We also discuss a variation on Conjecture 1.1 for surfaces with smooth disconnected canonical divisor and its consequences.

6.1. **Numerical conjecture.** Suppose S is a surface with $b_1(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) > 0$ and Seiberg-Witten basic classes are 0 and $K_S \neq 0$. Then Conjecture 1.1 applies to any choice of

$$\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) = (c_1^2, c_1 K_S, K_S^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S)),$$

provided we choose a polarization H for which there are no rank 2 strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves with Chern classes c_1, c_2. Moreover, as long as the assumptions of Corollary 3.4 are satisfied, the coefficients of $\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x, y)$ are calculated by the universal functions $A_1, \ldots, A_7$. This raises the expectation that for *any* choice of the 4-tuple $\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ the formula of Corollary 3.4 is determined by the coefficients of the modular form of Conjecture 1.1. This turns out to be false. Computer experiments show that we need to impose[8]

$$\beta_3 \geq \beta_4 - 3.$$

Indeed let $\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ with $\beta_1 \equiv \beta_2 \mod 2$ and $\beta_3 \geq \beta_4 - 3$. Let $n < \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 - \beta_2) + 2\beta_4$. We conjecture that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Coeff}_{s^0 x^{4n-\beta_1-3\beta_4}} \Big[& (xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-\beta_1-3\beta_4} \mathsf{A}_{(0,0,\beta_1,0,\beta_2,\beta_3,\beta_4)}(s, y, (xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^4) \\ & + (-1)^{\beta_4} (xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-\beta_1-3\beta_4} \mathsf{A}_{(\beta_3,\beta_2,\beta_1,\beta_3,\beta_2,\beta_3,\beta_4)}(s, y, (xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^4) \Big]\end{aligned}$$

equals the coefficient of $x^{4n-\beta_1-3\beta_4}$ of

$$8\left(\frac{1}{2}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-x^{2n})^{10}(1-x^{2n}y)(1-x^{2n}y^{-1})}\right)^{\beta_4} \left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(x, y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{\beta_3}.$$

In fact, we have a stronger conjecture, which arose by attempts to generalize Conjecture 1.1 to blow-ups.

[8]The inequality $K_S^2 \geq \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - 3$ is reminiscent of the (stronger) Noether's inequality $K_S^2 \geq 2(\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - 3)$ for minimal surfaces of general type.

Conjecture 6.1. *Let $\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ be such that $\beta_1 \equiv \beta_2 \mod 2$ and $\beta_3 \geq \beta_4 - 3$. Let $n < \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 - \beta_2) + 2\beta_4$. Let $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Coeff}_{s^0 x^{4n-\beta_1-3\beta_4}} \Big[& (xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-\beta_1-3\beta_4} \mathsf{A}_{(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\beta_1,\gamma_1,\beta_2,\beta_3,\beta_4)}(s,y,(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^4) \\ & + (-1)^{\beta_4}(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-\beta_1-3\beta_4} \mathsf{A}_{(\beta_3-\gamma_1,\beta_2-\gamma_2,\beta_1,\beta_3-\gamma_1,\beta_2,\beta_3,\beta_4)}(s,y,(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^4) \Big]\end{aligned}$$

equals the coefficient of $x^{4n-\beta_1-3\beta_4}$ of

$$\psi_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\beta_3,\beta_4}(x,y) :=$$

$$8(-1)^{\gamma_2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-x^{2n})^{10}(1-x^{2n}y)(1-x^{2n}y^{-1})} \right)^{\beta_4} \left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(x,y^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right)^{\beta_3} \left(\frac{\theta_3(x,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\theta_3(-x,y^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right)^{\gamma_1}.$$

The evidence for this conjecture is presented in Section 7.7. We now discuss its consequences.

Remark 6.2. Let $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be even, $\beta_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 3}$, and $n < \frac{1}{2}\beta_1 + 2\beta_4$. Then we conjecture

$$\mathrm{Coeff}_{s^0 x^{4n-\beta_1-3\beta_4}} \left[(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-\beta_1-3\beta_4} \mathsf{A}_{(0,0,\beta_1,0,0,0,\beta_4)}(s,y,(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^4) \right] \tag{23}$$

equals the coefficient of $x^{4n-\beta_1-3\beta_4}$ of

$$4 \left(\frac{1}{2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-x^{2n})^{10}(1-x^{2n}y)(1-x^{2n}y^{-1})} \right)^{\beta_4}. \tag{24}$$

In the case β_4 is even this follows from Conjecture 6.1 by taking $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ because then the two summands on the left-hand side of the conjecture are equal. In the case β_4 is odd this says that (23) is 0, because (24) only contains even powers of x.

Proposition 6.3. *Conjecture 6.1 and the strong form of Mochizuki's formula (Remark 3.5) imply Conjecture 1.1.*

Proof. We only need Conjecture 6.1 for $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$. Suppose S is a surface with $b_1(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) > 0$, and Seiberg-Witten basic classes 0 and $K_S \neq 0$. Choose a polarization H and c_1, c_2 such that there are no rank 2 strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves with these Chern classes. By taking

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) = (c_1^2, c_1 K_S, K_S^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S))$$

we automatically satisfy $\beta_1 \equiv \beta_2 \mod 2$. The fact that $\beta_3 \geq \beta_4 - 3$ can be seen as follows. If S is not minimal then it is the blow-up of a K3 in one point and the inequality is trivial. If S is minimal then it is minimal properly elliptic or minimal general type because $p_g(S) > 0$. For minimal general type the inequality follows from Noether's inequality $K_S^2 \geq 2(\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - 3)$. When $\pi : S \to B$ is minimal properly elliptic, we have $B \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ because $b_1(S) = 0$. The canonical bundle K_S of such a surface is effective, satisfies $K_S^2 = 0$, and contains $\pi^* D$, where $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is some effective divisor of degree

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - 2.$$

Indeed $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \leq 3$, because otherwise at least $0, F, K_S$ are distinct Seiberg-Witten basic classes [FM]. By the strong form of Mochizuki's formula (Corollary 3.4 and Remark 3.5), Conjecture 1.1 follows for all

$$\mathrm{vd} < c_1^2 - 2c_1 K_S + 5\chi(\mathcal{O}_S).$$

If this inequality is not satisfies, then we replace c_1 by $c_1 + tH$ for some $t > 0$. Since

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y) = \mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1+tH}(x,y)$$

we can compute the coefficients of this generating function for all

$$\mathrm{vd} < c_1^2 - 2c_1K_S + 5\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + t^2H^2 - 2tHK_S.$$

By choosing $t \gg 0$ the bound becomes arbitrarily high. □

6.2. **Fixed first Chern class.**

Proposition 6.4. *Assume Conjecture 6.1. Let $\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ be such that $\beta_1 \equiv \beta_2 \mod 2$ and $\beta_3 \geq \beta_4 - 3$ and let $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Coeff}_{s^0}\Big[(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-\beta_1-3\beta_4}\mathsf{A}_{(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\beta_1,\gamma_1,\beta_2,\beta_3,\beta_4)}(s,y,(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^4)\\
&\qquad + (-1)^{\beta_4}(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-\beta_1-3\beta_4}\mathsf{A}_{(\beta_3-\gamma_1,\beta_2-\gamma_2,\beta_1,\beta_3-\gamma_1,\beta_2,\beta_3,\beta_4)}(s,y,(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^4)\Big]\\
&= 2(-1)^{\gamma_2}\sum_{k=0}^{3}(i^k)^{\beta_1-\beta_4}\left(\frac{\phi(i^kx,y)}{2}\right)^{\beta_4}\left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(i^kx,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{\beta_3}\left(\frac{\theta_3(i^kx,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\theta_3(-i^kx,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{\gamma_1} + O(x^{\beta_1-2\beta_2+5\beta_4}),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi(x,y) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-x^{2n})^{10}(1-x^{2n}y)(1-x^{2n}y^{-1})}.$$

Proof. Recall the formula for $\psi(x,y) := \psi_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\beta_3,\beta_4}(x,y)$ of Conjecture 6.1. We see that the term in the sum on the right-hand side corresponding to $k = 0$ equals $\psi(x,y)/4$. Define coefficients $f_n(y)$ by

$$\psi(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(y)\, x^n.$$

Then the right-hand side of the formula of the proposition equals

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{3}\frac{i^{k(\beta_1-\beta_4)}}{4}\psi(i^kx,y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{3}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{i^{k(\beta_1-\beta_4+n)}}{4}f_n(y)\,x^n\\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k=0}^{3}\left(i^{(\beta_1-\beta_4+n)}\right)^k\right)f_n(y)\,x^n\\
&= \sum_{n\equiv-\beta_1+\beta_4 \mod 4} f_n(y)\,x^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude that the right-hand side of the formula of the proposition is obtained from $\psi(x,y)$ by extracting all terms x^n for which $n \equiv -\beta_1 - 3\beta_4 \mod 4$ and up to order $O(x^{\beta_1-2\beta_2+5\beta_4})$. The result follows from Conjecture 6.1. □

The same type of proof applied to Conjecture 1.1 implies the following.

Proposition 6.5. *Assume Conjecture 1.1. Let S be a smooth projective surface with $b_1(S) = 0$ and $p_g(S) > 0$. Suppose the Seiberg-Witten basic classes of S are 0 and $K_S \neq 0$. Let H, c_1 be chosen such that there are no rank 2 strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves with first Chern class c_1. Then*

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y) = 2\sum_{k=0}^{3}(i^k)^{c_1^2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{\phi(i^kx,y)}{2}\right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(i^kx,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{K_S^2},$$

where

$$\phi(x,y) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-x^{2n})^{10}(1-x^{2n}y)(1-x^{2n}y^{-1})}.$$

In particular, Corollary 1.3 in the introduction follows.

6.3. **Non-minimal surfaces of general type.**

Proposition 6.6. *Assume Conjecture 6.1 and the strong form of Mochizuki's formula (Remark 3.5). Let S_0 be a smooth projective surface with $b_1(S_0) = 0$ and $p_g(S_0) > 0$. Suppose the Seiberg-Witten basic classes of S_0 are 0 and $K_{S_0} \neq 0$. Suppose S is a smooth projective surface with minimal model S_0. Let H, c_1 be chosen such that there exist no rank 2 strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves on S with first Chern class c_1. Then*

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y) =$$
$$2\sum_{k=0}^{3}(i^k)^{c_1^2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{\phi(i^kx,y)}{2}\right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(i^kx,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{K_S^2}\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(1+(-1)^{c_1E_j}\frac{\theta_3(-i^kx,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\theta_3(i^kx,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right).$$

Proof. The surface S is obtained from S_0 by an iterated blow-up in (possibly infinitely near) points $\pi : S \to S_0$. We denote by $E_1, \ldots, E_m$ the total transforms on the blow-ups. Write $M := \{1, \ldots, m\}$, and for a subset $I \subset M$ write $E_I = \sum_{i\in I} E_i$. Then $K_S = K_{S_0} + E_M$. Moreover the Seiberg-Witten basic classes are the E_I (with Seiberg-Witten invariant 1) and the $K_{S_0} + E_I = K_S - E_{M-I}$ (with SW-invariant $(-1)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$) for all $I \subset M$. E.g. see [Mor, Thm. 7.4.6] for Seiberg-Witten invariants of blow-ups. We denote by $|I|$ the number of elements of I. Now note that

$$K_S(K_S - E_I) = (K_S - E_I)^2 = K_S^2 - E_I^2.$$

By the strong form of Mochizuki's formula (Corollary 3.4 and Remark 3.5) and Proposition 6.4, we obtain the following equation modulo $x^{c_1^2-2c_1K_S+5\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y) &= \sum_{I\subset M}\mathrm{Coeff}_{s^0}\Big[\Big((xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-c_1^2-3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\mathsf{A}_{(E_I^2,E_Ic_1,c_1^2,E_IK_S,c_1K_S,K_S^2,\chi(\mathcal{O}_S))}(s,y,(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^4)\\ &\quad + (-1)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-c_1^2-3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\mathsf{A}_{(K_S^2-E_I^2,c_1K_S-c_1E_I,c_1^2,K_S^2-E_I^2,c_1K_S,K_S^2,\chi(\mathcal{O}_S))}(s,y,(xy^{-\frac{1}{2}})^4)\Big]\\ &= 2\sum_{I\subset M}\sum_{k=1}^{3}(i^k)^{c_1^2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{\phi(i^kx,y)}{2}\right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(i^kx,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{K_S^2}(-1)^{c_1E_I}\left(\frac{\theta_3(-i^kx,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\theta_3(i^kx,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{|I|},\end{aligned}$$

where we replaced E_{M-I} by E_I for all terms with Seiberg-Witten invariant $(-1)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$. After interchanging the sums we get the formula of the proposition. □

6.4. **Smooth disconnected canonical divisor.** For smooth projective surfaces S with $b_1(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) > 0$, and smooth *not necessarily connected* canonical curve we conjecture the following formula for which we provide some evidence in Sections 7.1, 7.2, and 7.3. Specializing to $y = 1$ and using $\theta_3(i^kx) = \theta_3(x^4) + i^k\theta_2(x^4)$ gives [VW, Eqn. (5.35)] (up to an overall factor $x^{-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)+K_S^2/3}$ coming from our choice of normalization and a missing factor $(-i)^{-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$ on the second line of loc. cit., apparently a misprint).

Conjecture 6.7. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $b_1(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) > 0$, and smooth canonical divisor with connected components $C_1, \ldots, C_m$.*[9] *Then there exists a*

[9]We allow the case $m = 0$, which corresponds to the K3 surface. In this case $\prod_{j=1}^{m}(\cdots) := 1$.

choice of $t_1,\dots,t_m = \pm 1$ *satisfying* $\prod_{j=1}^m t_j = (-1)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$*, such that for any* H, c_1 *for which there are no rank 2 strictly Gieseker* H*-semistable sheaves with first Chern class* c_1 *we have*

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y) = 2\left(\frac{\phi(x,y)}{2}\right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)} \prod_{j=1}^m \left\{ \left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(x,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{C_j^2} + t_j(-1)^{c_1C_j}\left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(-x,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{C_j^2}\right\}$$
$$+ 2(-i)^{c_1^2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}\left(\frac{\phi(-ix,y)}{2}\right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)} \prod_{j=1}^m \left\{ \left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(-ix,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{C_j^2} + t_j(-1)^{c_1C_j}\left(\frac{2\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(ix,y^{\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{C_j^2}\right\}.$$

If the canonical divisor is connected, then the Conjecture 6.7 is part of Conjecture 1.1. We present some direct evidence for this conjecture for disconnected (or empty) canonical divisor on: K3 surfaces, elliptic surfaces $E(n)$ for various $n \geq 4$, and blow-ups (Sections 7.1, 7.2, and 7.3). The following consequence can be seen as further evidence.

Proposition 6.8. *Assume Conjecture 6.7 holds. Let* S *be a smooth projective surface with* $b_1(S) = 0$*,* $p_g(S) > 0$*, and smooth canonical divisor with connected components* C_j *and corresponding values* $t_j = \pm 1$ *as in Conjecture 6.7. Let* $\pi : \widetilde{S} \to S$ *be the blow-up in a point outside all* C_j*. Then* $\widetilde{S}$ *has smooth canonical divisor with connected components* C_j *and* E*, where* E *denotes the exceptional divisor. Assume the values corresponding to* C_j *on* $\widetilde{S}$ *in Conjecture 6.7 can be chosen equal to the same* t_j *as on* S*. Suppose* H, c_1 *are chosen such that there are no rank 2 strictly Gieseker* H*-semistable sheaves with first Chern class* c_1*. Let* $\widetilde{c}_1 = \pi^*c_1 - \epsilon E$ *with* $\epsilon = 0, 1$ *and suppose* $\widetilde{H}$ *is a polarization on* $\widetilde{S}$ *such that there are no rank 2 strictly Gieseker* $\widetilde{H}$*-semistable sheaves on* $\widetilde{S}$ *with first Chern class* $\widetilde{c}_1$*. Then*

$$\mathsf{Z}_{\widetilde{S},\widetilde{c}_1}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta_3(x^4,y)}{\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}\,\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y), & \epsilon = 0 \\ \frac{\theta_2(x^4,y)}{\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}\,\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y), & \epsilon = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that the value of t_{m+1} corresponding to the exceptional divisor E equals 1 because

$$\prod_{j=1}^m t_j = (-1)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)} = (-1)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}})} = \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} t_j.$$

Next we apply Conjecture 6.7 to $\widetilde{S}$ and use the substitution $\theta_3(i^kx, y^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \theta_3(x^4, y) + i^k\theta_2(x^4, y)$ which follows immediately from the definition (3). The result follows by distinguishing the cases $\epsilon = 0, 1$. □

For $y = 1$ this blow-up formula appears in a physics context in [VW, Sect. 4.3].

Remark 6.9. Since the formula of Proposition 6.8 does not depend on the t_j, it is natural to conjecture that Proposition 6.8 holds without assuming the existence of the compatible choice of t_j's stated in the proposition.

Remark 6.10. Let $\pi : \widetilde{S} \to S$ be the blow-up in a point of a simply connected smooth projective surface S. Let H, c_1 be chosen on S such that c_1H is odd. Let $\widetilde{H} = rH - E$ for $r \gg 0$ and $\widetilde{c}_1 = \pi^*c_1 - \epsilon E$ for $\epsilon = 0, 1$ such that $\widetilde{c}_1\widetilde{H}$ is odd as well. One can show that the moduli spaces $M_{\widetilde{S}}^{\widetilde{H}}(2, \widetilde{c}_1, c_2)$ do not depend on the choice of such $\widetilde{H}$ [LQ1]. In this

setting Li-Qin [LQ1, LQ2] derived a blow-up formula for the virtual Hodge polynomials of these moduli spaces[10]

$$h(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2),x_1,x_2),\ h(M_{\widetilde{S}}^{\widetilde{H}}(2,\widetilde{c}_1,c_2),x_1,x_2).$$

Normalize the virtual Hodge polynomials as follows

$$\overline{h}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2),x_1,x_2) = (x_1x_2)^{-\frac{\mathrm{vd}}{2}}h(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2),x_1,x_2),$$
$$\overline{h}(M_{\widetilde{S}}^{\widetilde{H}}(2,\widetilde{c}_1,c_2),x_1,x_2) = (x_1x_2)^{-\frac{\mathrm{vd}}{2}}h(M_{\widetilde{S}}^{\widetilde{H}}(2,\widetilde{c}_1,c_2),x_1,x_2).$$

Then Li-Qin's formula reads (see also [Got2, Rem. 3.2])

$$\sum_{c_2}\overline{h}(M_{\widetilde{S}}^{\widetilde{H}}(2,\widetilde{c}_1,c_2),x_1,x_2)x^{4c_2-\widetilde{c}_1^2} = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta_3(x^4,x_1x_2)}{\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}\sum_{c_2}\overline{h}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2),x_1,x_2)x^{4c_2-c_1^2}, & \epsilon=0 \\ \frac{\theta_2(x^4,x_1x_2)}{\overline{\eta}(x^4)^2}\sum_{c_2}\overline{h}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2),x_1,x_2)x^{4c_2-c_1^2}, & \epsilon=1. \end{cases}$$

When specializing to $x_1=y$ and $x_2=1$, this gives the ratios of Proposition 6.8. Hence the blow-up formula for virtual χ_y-genera (virtual in the sense of virtual classes) and the blow-up formula for χ_y-genera (defined via virtual Hodge polynomials) coincide. In particular, the blow-up formula for virtual Euler characteristics and classical Euler characteristics involve the same ratio too.

7. Verification of the conjectures in examples

In this section we check Conjectures 1.1, 6.1, and 6.7 in many cases. We recall that we calculated $A_1(s,y,q),\ldots,A_7(s,y,q)$ up to order q^7, where we calculated the coefficient of q^i up to order s^{29-3i}. We computed $A_1(s,1,q),\ldots,A_7(s,1,q)$ up to order q^{30} and any order in s (see Section 4). The latter are listed up to order q^4 in Appendix A.

7.1. **K3 surfaces.** Let S be a K3 surface. The canonical class is trivial and $b_1(S)=0$ so we are in the setting of Conjecture 6.7, which states

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\phi(x,y)^2 - (-i)^{c_1^2}\phi(-ix,y)^2\big). \tag{25}$$

This can be restated as saying that $\overline{\chi}_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2))$ is the coefficient of $4c_2-c_1^2-6$ of

$$\phi(x,y)^2 = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-x^{2n})^{20}(1-x^{2n}y)^2(1-x^{2n}y^{-1})^2},$$

when there are no rank 2 strictly H-semistable sheaves with Chern classes c_1,c_2. This looks very similar to Conjecture 1.1, which does not apply, and which would be off by a factor 2.

In the absence of strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves, the moduli space $M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2)$ is smooth of expected dimension. If in addition c_1 is primitive, big, and nef, then in [GH] it is shown that

$$\chi_{-y}(M_H^S(2,c_1,c_2)) = \chi_{-y}(S^{[2c_2-c_1^2/2-3]}).$$

More generally, in [Huy, Cor. 4.8], when c_1 is primitive, and in [Yos4, Thm. 0.1] in general, it is show that in the absence of strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves $M_S^H(r,c_1,c_2)$ is deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme $S^{[\mathrm{vd}/2]}$. In particular they have the same Hodge numbers. In turn, χ_y-genera of Hilbert schemes of points on K3 surfaces were

[10]Here the adjective "virtual" does not refer to virtual cycles. The definition of virtual Hodge polynomials involves Deligne's weight filtration. They coincide with ordinary Hodge polynomials for smooth projective varieties.

computed by the first author and W. Soergel in [GS]. Combining this formula with the result above implies that (25) holds for K3 surfaces.

All the same we want to calculate terms of (25) by applying Corollary 3.4 and our explicit knowledge of the universal functions A_i as described in Section 4. We use the conjectured strong form of Mochizuki's formula (Remark 3.5), so our calculations can also be viewed as an additional test of Remark 3.5. The only Seiberg-Witten basic class of S is 0 with corresponding Seiberg-Witten invariant 1. Suppose c_1 is a choice of first Chern class such that $c_1^2 = c$, then $c \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then we see that (25) also follows from Conjecture 6.1. We put $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 0$ and $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) = (c, 0, 0, 2)$. Then the two summands in $\mathrm{Coeff}_{s^0x^n}[\cdot]$ in Conjecture 6.1 are equal, and each equals the contribution of the Seiberg-Witten basic class $0 = K_S$. Therefore, if c_2 satisfies the assumptions of Conjecture 6.1, it gives that $\overline{\chi}_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M_S^H(2, c_1, c_2))$ is the coefficient of $x^{4c_2 - c_1^2 - 6}$ of

$$\frac{1}{2}\psi_{0,0,0,2}(x, y) = \phi(x, y)^2.$$

The same argument as in the proof of Proposition 6.3 shows that (25) follows from Conjecture 6.1 and the strong form of Mochizuki's formula.

We can also calculate directly. The easiest way to satisfy all assumptions of Corollary 3.4 is by choosing c_1 and H such that $c_1 H > 0$ is odd (though this is unnecessarily strong). According to Theorem 2.3 (i), we can calculate modulo

$$\mathrm{mod}\ x^{c+10}.$$

In addition we have a bound given by the accuracy to which we calculated the universal functions $A_i(s, y, q)$ and $A_i(s, 1, q)$. For $A_i(s, 1, q)$ this bound is q^{30}, which for $\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x, 1)$ means we can calculate modulo

$$\mathrm{mod}\ x^{-c+118}.$$

For $y = 1$, i.e. the case of virtual Euler characteristics, we tested Conjecture 6.7 for all even $c \in \{-6, \ldots, 116\}$ up to the above accuracies. We summarize this in a table, whose format we use in subsequent sections too:

c_1 such that $c_1^2 = c$
$c = -6, \ldots, 116$ even

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod x^{c+10}	mod x^{-c+118}

For χ_y-genus we tested Conjecture 6.7 for:

c_1 such that $c_1^2 = c$
$c = -6, \ldots, 32$ even

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod x^{c+10}	mod x^{-c+26}

7.2. **Elliptic surfaces.** Let $S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic surface with section, $12n$ rational nodal fibres, and no other singular fibres, i.e. an elliptic surface of type $E(n)$. The canonical class is given by $K_S = (n-2)F$, where F denotes the class of the fibre. Note that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = n$ and $B^2 = -n$, where B denotes the class of the section.

We assume $n \geq 2$, then $E(n)$ has a smooth canonical divisor which has $m = n - 2$ connected components F_j, each a smooth elliptic fibre of S. The surface $E(3)$ can be used to test Conjecture 1.1 and the surfaces $E(2)$ and $E(n)$ with $n \geq 4$ can be used to

test Conjecture 6.7. Note that $E(2)$ is K3, which was discussed in Section 7.1, so we take $n \geq 3$.

Let $c_1 = \epsilon B + dF$, for $\epsilon, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and B the class of the section. We take all $t_j = -1$ in Conjecture 6.7. Since $F_j^2 = 0$ and $c_1 F_j = \epsilon$, Conjecture 6.7 gives

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,c_1}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\big(\phi(x,y)^n + (-i)^{c_1^2-n}\phi(-ix,y)^n\big), & \epsilon \text{ odd} \\ 0, & \epsilon \text{ even.} \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

In the case $n = 3$, this can be restated as saying that $\overline{\chi}_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2))$ is the coefficient of $4c_2 - c_1^2 - 9$ of $\phi(x,y)^3$, which is the statement of Conjecture 1.1.

In [Yos3], Yoshioka fixes c_1, c_2 such that $c_1 F$ is odd and an ample divisor $H = B + \beta F$ with $\beta \gg c_2$. By choosing β of the right parity $c_1 H$ is odd, so there are no rank 2 strictly μ-semistable sheaves with Chern classes c_1, c_2. Then $M := M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2)$ is smooth irreducible of expected dimension and independent of H. In this setting, the Betti numbers and Euler characteristics of $M := M_S^H(2,c_1,c_2)$ were calculated in [Yos3] and are indeed given by the specialization $y = 1$ of (26).

Next we want to calculate terms of (26) directly by applying Corollary 3.4 and our explicit knowledge of the universal functions A_i as described in Section 4. There are numerous choices for the polarization H for which the conditions of Corollary 3.4 are satisfied. Specifically, let $H = \alpha B + \beta F$ with $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > \alpha n$ be a polarization. When

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 H &= (\beta - \alpha n)\epsilon + \alpha d > 2\alpha(n-2) = 2K_S H, \\ c_1 H &= (\beta - \alpha n)\epsilon + \alpha d \qquad \text{odd}, \end{aligned}$$

all conditions are satisfied. For fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ not both even, there are many solutions $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > \alpha n$ to these equations. By [FM], the Seiberg-Witten basic classes are $0, F \ldots, (n-2)F$ and

$$\mathrm{SW}(pF) = (-1)^p \binom{n-2}{p}.$$

For $y = 1$, we verified Conjectures 1.1 and 6.7 in the following cases:

$E(n)$	$c_1 = \epsilon B + dF$
$n = 2, \ldots, 8$	$\epsilon = 1, 2$ and $d = 0, \ldots, 8$ not both even

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod $x^{-\epsilon^2 n + 2\epsilon d - 2\epsilon n + 4\epsilon + 5n}$	mod $x^{n\epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon d - 3n + 4\min\{0, \epsilon(n-2)\} + 124}$

For χ_y-genus we tested Conjectures 1.1 and 6.7 for:

$E(n)$	$c_1 = \epsilon B + dF$
$n = 2, \ldots, 6$	$\epsilon = 1, 2$ and $d = 4 \ldots, 8$ not both even

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod $x^{-\epsilon^2 n + 2\epsilon d - 2\epsilon n + 4\epsilon + 5n}$	mod $x^{n\epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon d - 3n + 4\min\{0, \epsilon(n-2)\} + 32}$

7.3. **Blow-ups.** Let $\pi : \widetilde{S} \to S$ be the blow-up of a K3 surface S in a point and denote the exceptional divisor by E. Then $K_{\widetilde{S}} = E$ is a smooth canonical divisor. We want to gather evidence for Conjecture 1.1 by applying Corollary 3.4 and our explicit knowledge of the universal functions A_i as described in Section 4. Let $\widetilde{c}_1 = \pi^* c_1 + \epsilon E$ and $c_1^2 = c \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. The easiest way to satisfy the conditions of Corollary 3.4 is to take a polarization H on S such that $c_1 H > 0$ is odd and taking $\widetilde{H} = rH - E$ with $r \gg 0$ and

$r+\epsilon$ odd, but more general choices are possible. The blow-up formula for Seiberg-Witten invariants implies that $\widetilde{S}$ has Seiberg-Witten basic classes $0, E$ and $\mathrm{SW}(0) = \mathrm{SW}(E) = 1$ [Mor, Thm. 7.4.6]. For $y = 1$, we verified Conjecture 1.1 in the following cases:

$\widetilde{c}_1 = \pi^* c_1 + \epsilon E$ with $c_1^2 = c$
$\epsilon = -3, \ldots, 3$, $c = -10, \ldots, 80$ even

bound from Cor. 3.4	bound from A_i
mod $x^{c-\epsilon^2+3\epsilon+10}$	mod $x^{-c+\epsilon^2+4\min\{0,-(\epsilon-1)\}+118}$

For χ_y-genus we tested Conjecture 1.1 for:

$\widetilde{c}_1 = \pi^* c_1 + \epsilon E$ with $c_1^2 = c$
$\epsilon = 0, \ldots, 3$, $c = -10, \ldots, 26$ even

bound from Cor. 3.4	bound from A_i
mod $x^{c-\epsilon^2+3\epsilon+10}$	mod $x^{-c+\epsilon^2+4\min\{0,-(\epsilon-1)\}+26}$

Next we consider the blow-up of a K3 surface S in two distinct points

$$\pi : \widetilde{\widetilde{S}} \to S$$

and we denote the exceptional divisors by E_1, E_2. We gather evidence for Conjecture 6.7 by applying Corollary 3.4 and our explicit knowledge of the universal functions A_i as described in Section 4. By the blow-up formula for Seiberg-Witten invariants, the Seiberg-Witten basic classes are

$$0,\ E_1,\ E_2,\ E_1 + E_2$$

and the invariant is 1 in each case. We consider classes

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{c}}_1 = \pi^* c_1 + \epsilon_1 E_1 + \epsilon_2 E_2.$$

As before the easiest way to satisfy the conditions of Corollary 3.4 is to take a polarization H on S such that $c_1 H > 0$ is odd and taking $\widetilde{H} = rH - E_1 - E_2$ with $r \gg 0$ and parity such that $r + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ is odd, though other choices are possible. For $y = 1$, we verified Conjecture 6.7 in the following cases:

$\widetilde{c}_1 = \pi^* c_1 + \epsilon_1 E_1 + \epsilon_2 E_2$ with $c_1^2 = c$
$\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = -2, \ldots, 2$, $c = -10, \ldots, 126$ even

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod $x^{c-\epsilon_1^2-\epsilon_2^2+2\epsilon_1+2\epsilon_2+10}$	mod $x^{-c+\epsilon_1^2+\epsilon_2^2+4\min\{0,-(\epsilon_1-1),-(\epsilon_2-1),-(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-2)\}+118}$

For χ_y-genus we tested Conjecture 6.7 for:

$\widetilde{c}_1 = \pi^* c_1 + \epsilon_1 E_1 + \epsilon_2 E_2$ with $c_1^2 = c$
$\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = 0, 1, 2$, $c = -10, \ldots, 30$ even

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod $x^{c-\epsilon_1^2-\epsilon_2^2+2\epsilon_1+2\epsilon_2+10}$	mod $x^{-c+\epsilon_1^2+\epsilon_2^2+4\min\{0,-(\epsilon_1-1),-(\epsilon_2-1),-(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-2)\}+26}$

7.4. **Double covers.** Next we consider double covers

$$\pi : S_d \to \mathbb{P}^2$$

branched over a smooth curve C of degree $2d$. Then $K_{S_d} = (d-3)L$, where L is the pull-back of the class of a line on $\mathbb{P}^2$. These surfaces satisfy $b_1(S_d) = 0$. It is easy to calculate

$$K_{S_d}^2 = 2(d-3)^2,$$
$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_d}) = \frac{1}{2}d(d-3) + 2.$$

Since αL is base-point free for all $\alpha > 0$, the canonical linear system $|K_{S_d}|$ contains smooth connected canonical divisors when $d \geq 4$, which we assume from now on. Let $c_1 = \epsilon L$. As before, we want to gather evidence for Conjecture 1.1 by applying Corollary 3.4 and our explicit knowledge of the universal functions A_i as described in Section 4. The Seiberg-Witten basic classes are $0, K_S \neq 0$ with Seiberg-Witten invariants

$$1,\ (-1)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_d})}.$$

We first take $H = L$ as the polarization on S. Then conditions (ii), (iii) of Corollary 3.4 require

$$c_1 H = 2\epsilon > 4(d-3) = 2K_S H,$$

i.e. $\epsilon > 2(d-3)$. In the case $\epsilon = 2k$ is even, we can ensure the absence of strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves with Chern classes c_1, c_2 by choosing c_2 such that

$$\frac{1}{2}c_1(c_1 - K_S) - c_2 = \epsilon(\epsilon - (d-3)) - c_2$$

is odd [HL, Rem. 4.6.8].

Now assume that $\epsilon = 2k+1$ is odd. If L generates the Picard group of S_d, then there are no rank 2 strictly μ-semistable sheaves with Chern classes ϵL and c_2. In general the Picard group of S_d can have more generators, but L is still ample and primitive. In this case we take the polarization H general and sufficiently close to L (i.e. of the form $nL + B$ for n sufficiently large), so that conditions (ii) and (iii) of Corollary 3.4 still hold when $\epsilon > 2(d-3)$, and so that there are no rank 2 strictly μ-semistable sheaves with Chern classes ϵL and c_2.

Recall that conjecturally conditions (ii), (iii) of Corollary 3.4 are not necessary (see Remark 3.5). Therefore we will also test Conjecture 1.1 for ϵ, d which do not satisfy $\epsilon > 2(d-3)$.

Note that μ-stability is invariant under tensorizing by a line bundle and that Gieseker stability with respect to H is invariant under tensorizing by a power of H. Therefore we know that $M^H_{S_d}(2, L, c_2)$ together with its virtual structure is isomorphic to $M^H_{S_d}(2, (2k+1)L, c_2 + 2(k^2+k))$, and when c_2 is odd, the same holds for $M^L_{S_d}(2, 0, c_2)$ and $M^L_{S_d}(2, 2kL, c_2 + 2k^2)$.

For $y = 1$, we verified Conjecture 1.1 in the following cases:

S_d	$c_1 = \epsilon L$
$d = 4, \ldots, 7$	$\epsilon = -3, \ldots, 6$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod $x^{\frac{5}{2}d(d-3)-4\epsilon(d-3)+2\epsilon^2+10}$	mod $x^{-\frac{3}{2}d(d-3)-2\epsilon^2+8\min\{0,(d-3)(\epsilon-(d-3))\}+118}$

For the χ_y-genus we tested Conjecture 1.1 for:

S_d	$c_1 = \epsilon L$
$d = 4, \ldots, 7$	$\epsilon = -3, \ldots, 6$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod $x^{\frac{5}{2}d(d-3)-4\epsilon(d-3)+2\epsilon^2+10}$	mod $x^{-\frac{3}{2}d(d-3)-2\epsilon^2+8\min\{0,(d-3)(\epsilon-(d-3))\}+26}$

In order to give an idea of the complexity of the numbers involved, we give some examples. First we compute the virtual Euler numbers. We only show cases where $\epsilon > 2(d-3)$, so that we do not need to use the strong form of Mochizuki's formula, and thus we have unconditionally proved these formulas.

The formula of Corollary 1.3 is proven for $(d = 4, \epsilon = 5)$, modulo x^{50}, for $(d = 4, \epsilon = 6)$ modulo x^{40}, for$(d = 5, \epsilon = 5)$ modulo x^{45} for $(d = 5, \epsilon = 6)$ modulo x^{31}. When ϵ is even, let $\mathsf{Z}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{S_d,H,0}(x,1)$ be the part of the generating function corresponding to c_2 odd, so the moduli spaces only consist of Gieseker stable sheaves. Using the invariance under tensoring by H or L respectively we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{Z}_{S_4,H,L}(x,1) =& 120x^2 + 80800x^6 + 18764544x^{10} + 2352907648x^{14} + 192977504816x^{18} \\
&+ 11510039013632x^{22} + 533695300891136x^{26} + O(x^{30}), \\
\mathsf{Z}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{S_4,L,0}(x,1) =& 2 + 1354148x^8 + 22293779698x^{16} + 80622742217604x^{24} \\
&+ 11568710830499863 6x^{32} + O(x^{40}), \\
\mathsf{Z}_{S_5,H,L}(x,1) =& -256x - 2622464x^5 - 4399076864x^9 - 3005594355712x^{13} \\
&- 1137273257362688x^{17} - 278765441520823296x^{21} + O(x^{25}), \\
\mathsf{Z}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{S_5,L,0}(x,1) =& -123928576x^7 - 62207974965248x^{15} - 3825621677917863936x^{23} + O(x^{31}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we give some examples of the virtual χ_y-genus. In this case we need to use the strong form of Mochizuki's formula. For $d = 4$, $c_1 = 0, L$ we get the virtual refinements

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{Z}_{S_4,H,L}(xy^{\frac{1}{2}}, y) = (14y^2 + 92y + 14)x^2 + (154y^6 + 2540y^5 + 16398y^4 + 42616y^3 + \ldots)x^6 \\
&\quad + (756y^{10} + 17360y^9 + 185020y^8 + 1145700y^7 + 4174352y^6 + 7718168y^5 + \ldots)x^{10} + O(x^{14}), \\
&\mathsf{Z}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{S_4,L,0}(xy^{\frac{1}{2}}, y) = 2 + (364y^8 + 7300y^7 + 64090y^6 + 293556y^5 + 623528y^4 + \ldots)x^8 + O(x^{16}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the missing terms are determined by the symmetry of the virtual χ_y-genus.

Next let $\mathbb{F}_a = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a))$ denote the ath Hirzebruch surface, where $a \geq 0$. Suppose B is a section corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(1)$, then $B^2 = -a$. We denote the class of the fibre of $\mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1$ by F. Let $d_1 > 0$ and $d_2 > ad_1$. A rich source of examples are double covers

$$\pi : S_{a,d_1,d_2} \to \mathbb{F}_a$$

branched over a smooth connected curve in $|\mathcal{O}(2d_1B + 2d_2F)|$. Let $\widetilde{B} := \pi^*B$ and $\widetilde{F} = \pi^*F$. We choose $d_1 > 2$ and $d_2 > a(d_1 - 1) + 2$, so the canonical divisor

$$K_{S_{a,d_1,d_2}} = (d_1 - 2)\widetilde{B} + (d_2 - (a+2))\widetilde{F}$$

is the pull-back of a very ample divisor and its linear system contains smooth connected curves. These surfaces satisfy $b_1(S_{a,d_1,d_2}) = 0$. We have

$$K^2_{S_{a,d_1,d_2}} = 4(d_1-2)\Big(d_2 - \frac{d_1 a}{2} - 2\Big),$$
$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_{a,d_1,d_2}}) = (d_1-1)\Big(d_2 - \frac{d_1 a}{2} - 1\Big) + 1.$$

We first note that it is enough to determine $\mathsf{Z}_{S_{a,d_1,d_2},\epsilon_1\widetilde{B}+\epsilon_2\widetilde{F}}(x,y)$ for $a = 0, 1$.

Proposition 7.1. *Assume the strong form of Mochizuki's formula holds (Remark 3.5). Let $a \geq 0$, $d_1 > 2$, $d_2 > (a+1)(d_1-1)+1$, and $d_2 > (a+1)d_1$. Let H be a polarization on S_{a,d_1,d_2} such that there exist no rank 2 strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves with Chern classes $c_1 := \epsilon_1\widetilde{B} + \epsilon_2\widetilde{F}$ and c_2. Let H' be a polarization on S_{a+2,d_1,d_1+d_2} such that there exist no rank 2 strictly Gieseker H'-semistable sheaves with Chern classes $c_1' := \epsilon_1\widetilde{B} + (\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2)\widetilde{F}$ and c_2. Then*

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(M^H_{S_{a,d_1,d_2}}(2, \epsilon_1\widetilde{B} + \epsilon_2\widetilde{F}, c_2)) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(M^{H'}_{S_{a+2,d_1,d_1+d_2}}(2, \epsilon_1\widetilde{B} + (\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2)\widetilde{F}, c_2)),$$

for all

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &< 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_{a,d_1,d_2}}) + \frac{1}{2}c_1(c_1 - K_{S_{a,d_1,d_2}}) \\ &= 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_{a+2,d_1,d_1+d_2}}) + \frac{1}{2}c_1'(c_1' - K_{S_{a+2,d_1,d_1+d_2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Write $S := S_{a,d_1,d_2}$ and $S' := S_{a+2,d_1,d_1+d_2}$. Fix $c_1 := \epsilon_1\widetilde{B} + \epsilon_2\widetilde{F}$ on S and $c_1' := \epsilon_1\widetilde{B} + (\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2)\widetilde{F}$ on S'. The map

$$\phi : H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S',\mathbb{Z}),\ \widetilde{B} \mapsto \widetilde{B} + \widetilde{F},\ \widetilde{F} \mapsto \widetilde{F}$$

is an isomorphism from the subgroup generated by B, F to the subgroup generated by $\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{F}$ and preserves the intersection forms on these subgroups. It sends K_S to $K_{S'}$, and thus it sends the Seiberg-Witten basic classes 0, K_S of S to the corresponding Seiberg-Witten basic classes of S'. We also see that c_1 is send to c_1'. Moreover $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{S'})$. We apply the strong form of Mochizuki's formula to S with H, c_1, c_2 and S' with H', c_1', c_2. Since

$$(a_1^2, a_1c_1, c_1^2, a_1K_S, c_1K_S, K_S^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S)) = (a_1'^2, a_1'c_1', c_1'^2, a_1'K_{S'}, c_1'K_{S'}, K_{S'}^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_{S'}))$$

for $(a_1, a_1') = (0,0)$ and $(a_1, a_1') = (K_S, K_{S'})$, the assertion follows from Corollary 3.4 and Remark 3.5. □

For $y = 1$, we checked Conjecture 1.1 in the following cases:

S_{a,d_1,d_2}	$c_1 = \epsilon_1\widetilde{B} + \epsilon_2\widetilde{F}$
$a = 0,\dots,3,\ d_1 = 3,\dots,6,$ $d_2 = a(d_1-1)+3,\dots,a(d_1-1)+10$	$\epsilon_1 = -2,\dots,2,$ $\epsilon_2 = -2,\dots,10$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod x^M	mod x^{N+124}

where

$$\begin{aligned} M :=& -\frac{5}{2}ad_1^2+\frac{5}{2}ad_1+5d_1d_2-5d_1-5d_2+10+4a\epsilon_1d_1-4a\epsilon_1-4\epsilon_1d_2+8\epsilon_1-4\epsilon_2d_1\\ &+8\epsilon_2-2a\epsilon_1^2+4\epsilon_1\epsilon_2,\\ N :=& \,2a\epsilon_1^2-4\epsilon_1\epsilon_2-3(d_1-1)(d_2-\frac{d_1a}{2}-1)-3\\ &+8\min\Big\{0, a(d_1-2)(\epsilon_1-(d_1-2))+(d_1-2)(\epsilon_2-(d_2-(a+2)))\\ &\qquad\qquad +(d_2-(a+2))(\epsilon_1-(d_1-2))\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the virtual χ_y-genus we checked Conjecture 1.1 in the following cases:

S_{a,d_1,d_2}	$c_1=\epsilon_1\widetilde{B}+\epsilon_2\widetilde{F}$
$a=0,\dots,3,\ d_1=3,4,5,$ $d_2=a(d_1-1)+3,\dots,a(d_1-1)+5$	$\epsilon_1=-2,\dots,2,$ $\epsilon_2=-2,\dots,6$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod x^M	mod x^{N+32}

Some examples of these tables are the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Z}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{S_{0,3,3},0}(x,1) &= -105472x^5-17699188736x^{13}-311478544324608x^{21}+O(x^{29}),\\ \mathsf{Z}_{S_{0,3,4},\widetilde{B}}(x,1) &= -36864x^3-123928576x^7-125523673088x^{11}+O(x^{15}),\\ \mathsf{Z}_{S_{1,3,5},\widetilde{F}}(x,1) &= 1248x^2+3740160x^6+3011270208x^{10}+1143966397440x^{14}+O(x^{18}),\\ \mathsf{Z}_{S_{1,3,5},\widetilde{F}+\widetilde{B}}(x,1) &= 8+85920x^4+119219712x^8+63288183168x^{12}+O(x^{16}). \end{aligned}$$

Here c_2 always has the property that there are no strictly Gieseker H-semistable sheaves in the moduli space. The virtual refinement of $\mathsf{Z}_{S_{1,3,5},\widetilde{F}}(x,1)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Z}_{S_{0,3,5},\widetilde{F}}(xy^{\frac{1}{2}},y) =& (216y^2+816y+216)x^2+(13720y^6+184128y^5\\ &+876264y^4+1591936y^3+876264y^2+184128y+13720)x^6+O(x^{10}). \end{aligned}$$

7.5. **Divisors in products of projective spaces.** Let S_d be a generic surface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^3$, then

$$K_{S_d}=(d-4)L,$$

where L is the hyperplane section. Moreover S_d is simply connected by the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem. We take $d\geq 5$, so S_d is of general type. One readily calculates

$$\begin{aligned} K_{S_d}^2 &= d(d-4)^2,\\ \chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_d}) &= \frac{1}{6}d(d^2+11)-d^2. \end{aligned}$$

The hyperplane section L on S_d is very ample so $|K_S|$ contains smooth connected canonical divisors. We test Conjecture 1.1 using Corollary 3.4.

We take as polarization $H=L$ and $c_1=\epsilon L$. If S_d is very general, i.e. in the complement of countably many closed subvarieties in the projective space of hypersurfaces of degree d, then $\mathrm{Pic}(S_d)=\mathbb{Z}L$ by the Noether-Lefschetz Theorem. For

$$\begin{aligned} c_1H &= d\epsilon > 2d(d-4)=2K_{S_d}H,\\ c_1H &= d\epsilon \quad \text{odd, or } S_d \text{ very general and } \epsilon \text{ odd} \end{aligned}$$

there are no rank 2 strictly μ-semistable sheaves with first Chern class c_1 and conditions (ii), (iii) of Corollary 3.4 are satisfied.

We also perform computations when these conditions are not satisfied (recall Remark 3.5). We verified Conjecture 1.1 in the following cases:

S_d	$c_1 = \epsilon E$
$d = 4, 5, 6$	$\epsilon = -1, \ldots, 4$

bound from Cor. 3.4	bound from A_i
mod $x^{\frac{5}{6}d(d^2+11)-5d^2+\epsilon d(\epsilon-2d+8)}$	mod $x^{-\epsilon^2 d-\frac{1}{2}d(d^2+11)+3d^2+4\min\{0,\epsilon d(d-\epsilon-4)\}+124}$

For χ_y-genus we tested Conjecture 1.1 for:

S_d	$c_1 = \epsilon E$
$d = 4, 5, 6$	$\epsilon = 1, 2$

bound from Cor. 3.4	bound from A_i
mod $x^{\frac{5}{6}d(d^2+11)-5d^2+\epsilon d(\epsilon-2d+8)}$	mod $x^{-\epsilon^2 d-\frac{1}{2}d(d^2+11)+3d^2+4\min\{0,\epsilon d(d-\epsilon-4)\}+32}$

We list a few examples. First we deal with the specialization to the virtual Euler number and in this case we only consider the case that $\epsilon > 2(d-4)$, so that we do not use the strong from of Mochizuki's formula and the formulas are proven unconditionally. For $d = 5$ and $\epsilon = 3, 4$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{Z}_{S_5,L,L}(x,1) =& 8 + 52720x^4 + 48754480x^8 + 17856390560x^{12} + 3626761297400x^{16} \\ &+ 482220775619120x^{20} + 46283858505022160x^{24} + O(x^{28}),\\ \mathsf{Z}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{S_5,L,0}(x,1) =& -316096x^5 - 70399202880x^{13} - 1550539821466560x^{21} + O(x^{29}).\end{aligned}$$

For $d = 5$, $\epsilon = 1$ and using the strong form of Mochizuki's formula, we obtain the refinement

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{Z}_{S_5,L,L}(xy^{\frac{1}{2}},y) &= 8 + (1280y^4 + 11440y^3 + 27280y^2 + 11440y + 1280)x^4 \\ &+ (25520y^8 + 448640y^7 + 3228960y^6 + 11405320y^5 + 18537600y^4 + \ldots)x^8 + O(x^{12}).\end{aligned}$$

Next we move on to generic surfaces S_{d_1,d_2} of bidegree (d_1, d_2) in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Again S_{d_1,d_2} is simply connected and one readily calculates

$$\begin{aligned}K^2_{S_{d_1,d_2}} &= (d_1-3)^2 d_2 + 2d_1(d_1-3)(d_2-2),\\ \chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_{d_1,d_2}}) &= \frac{1}{2}d_1(d_1d_2 - d_1 - 3d_2 + 3) + d_2.\end{aligned}$$

We take $d_1 \geq 4$ and $d_2 \geq 3$ so $K_{S_{d_1,d_2}}$ is very ample and its linear system contains a smooth connected canonical divisor.

Let L_i be the restriction of $\pi_i^* L$ to S, where $\pi_1 : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\pi_2 : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ denote projections and L is the hyperplane class on each factor. We tested Conjecture 1.1 using Corollary 3.3 as well as the strong version of Mochizuki's formula (Remark 3.5) in the following cases:

S_{d_1,d_2}	$c_1 = \epsilon_1 L_1 + \epsilon_2 L_2$
$(d_1, d_2) = (4,3), (5,3), (6,3), (4,4), (5,4), (4,5)$	$\epsilon_1 = -3, \ldots, 7, \epsilon_2 = -2, \ldots, 8$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod x^M	mod x^{N+124}

where

$$\begin{aligned} M :=& \frac{5}{2}d_1(d_1d_2-3d_2-d_1+3)+5d_2+\epsilon_1^2d_2+2\epsilon_1\epsilon_2d_1-2\epsilon_1(d_1-3)d_2-2\epsilon_2(d_1-3)d_1 \\ & -2\epsilon_1(d_2-2)d_1, \\ N :=& -\epsilon_1^2d_2-2\epsilon_1\epsilon_2d_1-\frac{3}{2}d_1(d_1d_2-3d_2-d_1+3)-3d_2 \\ & +4\min\Big\{0,(d_1-3)(\epsilon_1-(d_1-3))d_2+(d_1-3)(\epsilon_2-(d_2-2))d_1 \\ & \qquad +(d_2-2)(\epsilon_1-(d_1-3))d_1\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

For general y, we checked Conjecture 1.1 in the following cases:

S_{d_1,d_2}	$c_1=\epsilon_1L_1+\epsilon_2L_2$
$(d_1,d_2)=(4,3),(4,4)$	$\epsilon_1=-1,\dots,3,\epsilon_2=-2,\dots,5$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod x^M	mod x^{N+32}

Examples contained in these tables with $(d_1,d_2)=(4,3)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{Z}_{S_{4,3},3L_1}(x,1)=128+5350656x^4+18196176128x^8+20761214894592x^{12}+O(x^{16}), \\ &\mathsf{Z}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{S_{4,3},3L_2}(x,1)=-2704756736x^7+O(x^{15}), \end{aligned}$$

where we choose a polarization H such that L_1H is odd in the first case. A virtual refinement of the second formula is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Z}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{S_{4,3},3L_2}(xy^{\frac{1}{2}},y)=&-\big(6323328y^7+81371136y^6+394518784y^5+870165120(y^4+y^3) \\ &+394518784y^2+81371136y+6323328\big)x^7+O(x^{15}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we consider generic surfaces S_{d_1,d_2,d_3} of tridegree (d_1,d_2,d_3) in $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$. Then S_{d_1,d_2,d_3} is simply connected and one can compute

$$K^2_{S_{d_1,d_2,d_3}}=2\prod_{\substack{(i,j,k)\\ i,j,k\,\text{distinct}}}d_i(d_j-2)(d_k-2),$$

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_{d_1,d_2,d_3}})=\frac{1}{2}d_1d_2d_3-\frac{1}{6}d_1d_2-\frac{1}{6}d_1d_3-\frac{1}{6}d_2d_3-\frac{1}{3}d_1-\frac{1}{3}d_2-\frac{1}{3}d_3+2.$$

Taking $d_1,d_2,d_3\geq 3$, the canonical linear system is ample and contains smooth connected curves. Denote by L_i the restriction of π_i^*L to S, where $\pi_i:\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1\to\mathbb{P}^1$ are the projections and L is the class of a point on $\mathbb{P}^1$. We tested Conjecture 1.1 using Corollary 3.3 as well as the strong version of Mochizuki's formula (Remark 3.5) in the following cases:

S_{d_1,d_2,d_3}	$c_1=\epsilon_1L_1+\epsilon_2L_2+\epsilon_3L_3$
$(d_1,d_2,d_3)=(3,3,3),(3,3,4),(3,3,5),(3,4,4)$	$\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\epsilon_3=-2,\dots,5$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod x^M	mod x^{N+124}

where

$$M := \frac{5}{2}d_1d_2d_3 - \frac{5}{6}d_1d_2 - \frac{5}{6}d_1d_3 - \frac{5}{6}d_2d_3 - \frac{5}{3}d_1 - \frac{5}{3}d_2 - \frac{5}{3}d_3 + 10 - 2 \prod_{\substack{(i,j,k) \\ i,j,k \text{ distinct}}} (d_i - 2)d_je_k + 2 \prod_{\substack{(i,j,k) \\ i,j,k \text{ distinct}}} e_ie_jd_k,$$

$$N := -\frac{3}{2}d_1d_2d_3 + \frac{1}{2}d_1d_2 + \frac{1}{2}d_1d_3 + \frac{1}{2}d_2d_3 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 - 6 - \prod_{\substack{(i,j,k) \\ i,j,k \text{ distinct}}} e_ie_jd_k + 4\min\Big\{0, \prod (d_i - 2)(e_j - (d_j - 2))d_k\Big\}.$$

For arbitrary y we checked Conjecture 1.1 in the following cases:

S_{d_1,d_2,d_3}	$c_1 = \epsilon_1L_1 + \epsilon_2L_2 + \epsilon_3L_3$
$(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (3,3,3), (3,3,4)$	$\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 = -1, \ldots, 3$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod x^M	mod x^{N+32}

An example covered by these tables with $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (3,3,3)$ is the following

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,-L_1+2L_2+2L_3}(x,1) = -147456x - 8358985728x^5 + O(x^9),$$

where we choose a polarization H such that L_1H is odd. Its virtual refinement is

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S,3L_1}(xy^{\frac{1}{2}}, y) = \mathsf{Z}_{S,-L_1+2L_2+2L_3}(xy^{\frac{1}{2}}, y) = (-73728y - 73728)x + O(x^5).$$

7.6. **Complete intersections in projective spaces.** For $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, with $d_1 + d_2 \geq 6$, let S_{d_1,d_2} be a complete intersection of bidegree (d_1, d_2) in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Then S_{d_1,d_2} is simply connected. Let L be the restriction of the hyperplane class on $\mathbb{P}^4$ to S_{d_1,d_2}. If S_{d_1,d_2} is very general, then by the Noether-Lefschetz theorem for complete intersections (see e.g. [Kim]) the Picard group of S_{d_1,d_2} is generated by L. Putting $d := d_1d_2$, $D := d_1 + d_2$, we have $K_{S_{d_1,d_2}} = (D-5)L$ is very ample and

$$K^2_{S_{d_1,d_2}} = d(D-5)^2,$$
$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_{d_1,d_2}}) = \frac{d}{12}(2D^2 - 15D - d + 35).$$

If the Picard group of S_{d_1,d_2} is $\mathbb{Z}L$ and $H = L$, then there are no rank 2 strictly μ-semistable sheaves on S_{d_1,d_2} with $c_1 = \epsilon L$ and ϵ odd.
For $y = 1$ we checked Conjecture 1.1 in the following cases:

S_{d_1,d_2}	$c_1 = \epsilon L$
$(d_1, d_2) = (2,4), (2,5), (3,3), (3,4)$	$\epsilon = -1, \ldots, 3$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod x^M	mod x^{N+124}

Here

$$M := \epsilon^2 d - 2\epsilon d(D-5) + \frac{5d}{12}(2D^2 - 15D - d + 35),$$
$$N := -\epsilon^2 d - \frac{3d}{12}(2D^2 - 15D - d + 35) + 4\min\Big\{0, d(D-5)(\epsilon - (D-5))\Big\}.$$

For y general we checked Conjecture 1.1 in the following cases:

S_{d_1,d_2}	$c_1 = \epsilon L$
$(d_1,d_2) = (2,4),(3,3)$	$\epsilon = 1$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod x^M	mod x^{N+32}

For $\epsilon = 3$ we determined $\mathsf{Z}_{S_{3,3},L,L}(x,1)$, and $\mathsf{Z}_{S_{2,4},L,L}(x,1)$ modulo x^{25} and x^{34}, where the second is only written modulo x^{26}.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{Z}_{S_{3,3},L,L}(x,1) = &-1152x - 11784960x^5 - 18762235136x^9 - 11903890079232x^{13}\\ &- 4135344957021312x^{17} - 924519456314916096x^{21} + O(x^{25}),\\ \mathsf{Z}_{S_{2,4},L,L}(x,1) = &\,6912x^2 + 30124032x^6 + 31867565056x^{10} + 15237098061824x^{14}\\ &+ 4243875728564736x^{18} + 789670403161694208x^{22} + O(x^{26}).\end{aligned}$$

For $\epsilon = 1$ and using the strong form of Mochizuki's formula (Remark 3.5) we get

$$\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M^L_{S_{3,3}}(2,L,7)) = -576y - 576,$$
$$\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(M^L_{S_{2,4}}(2,L,7)) = 1344y^2 + 4224y + 1344.$$

For $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, with $d_1+d_2+d_3 \geq 7$, let S_{d_1,d_2,d_3} be a generic complete intersection of tridegree (d_1,d_2,d_3) in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Then S_{d_1,d_2,d_3} is simply connected. When S_{d_1,d_2,d_3} is very general, the Picard group of S_{d_1,d_2,d_3} is generated by the restriction L of a hyperplane class on $\mathbb{P}^5$. Putting $d := d_1d_2d_3$, $D := d_1+d_2+d_3$, we have $K_{S_{d_1,d_2,d_3}} = (D-6)L$ is very ample and

$$K^2_{S_{d_1,d_2,d_3}} = d(D-6)^2,$$
$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_{d_1,d_2,d_3}}) = \frac{d}{12}(2D^2 - 18D + 51 - d_1d_2 - d_1d_3 - d_2d_3).$$

For $y = 1$ we checked Conjecture 1.1 in the following cases:

S_{d_1,d_2,d_3}	$c_1 = \epsilon L$
$(d_1,d_2,d_3) = (2,2,3)$	$\epsilon = 0,1,2$

bound from Cor. 3.4 (i)	bound from A_i
mod $x^{12\epsilon^2 - 24\epsilon + 35}$	mod $x^{48\min\{0,\epsilon-1\} - 12\epsilon^2 + 103}$

As an example we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{Z}_{S_{2,2,3},L,L}(x,1) = &-1261568x^3 - 7379091456x^7 - 11717181702144x^{11}\\ &- 8585117244063744x^{15} - 3662336916158939136x^{19} + O(x^{23}).\end{aligned}$$

7.7. **Verifications of Conjecture 6.1.** Let $\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $\beta_1 \equiv \beta_2 \mod 2$ and $\beta_3 \geq \beta_4 - 3$. Put

$$M_1 := \min\Big\{2\beta_1 - 2\beta_2 + 8\beta_4, 124 - 4\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2, 124 + 4\beta_2 - 4\beta_3 + 4\gamma_1 - 4\gamma_2\Big\} - \beta_1 - 3\beta_4,$$

$$M_2 := \min\Big\{2\beta_1 - 2\beta_2 + 8\beta_4, 32 - 4\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2, 32 + 4\beta_2 - 4\beta_3 + 4\gamma_1 - 4\gamma_2\Big\} - \beta_1 - 3\beta_4.$$

For $y = 1$, we verified Conjecture 6.1 up to order x^{M_1-1} for all

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0 \text{ and } |\beta_1|, |\beta_2|, |\beta_3|, |\beta_4| \leq 16,$$
$$|\gamma_1|, |\gamma_2|, |\beta_1|, |\beta_2|, |\beta_3|, |\beta_4| \leq 4.$$

For arbitrary y, we verified Conjecture 6.1 up to order x^{M_2-1} for all

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0 \text{ and } |\beta_1|, |\beta_2|, |\beta_3|, |\beta_4| \leq 3,$$
$$|\gamma_1|, |\gamma_2|, |\beta_1|, |\beta_2|, |\beta_3|, |\beta_4| \leq 2.$$

We have examples showing that the condition $\beta_3 \geq \beta_4 - 3$ is necessary.

Remark 7.2. Any choice of $\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ with $\beta_1 \equiv \beta_2 \mod 2$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{12}\beta_3 \leq \beta_4 < \frac{1}{9}\beta_3$$

does *not* arise geometrically and automatically implies $\beta_3 \geq \beta_4 - 3$. These inequalities correspond to "$e(S) \geq 0$ but violation of the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality", so in this regime $\underline{\beta}$ cannot be realized by a smooth projective algebraic surface. With these restrictions, we verified Conjecture 6.1 for $y = 1$ up to order x^{M_1-1} for all

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0 \text{ and } |\beta_1|, |\beta_2|, |\beta_3|, |\beta_4| \leq 25,$$

and for arbitrary y up to order x^{M_2-1} for all

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0 \text{ and } |\beta_1|, |\beta_2|, |\beta_3|, |\beta_4| \leq 27.$$

Appendix A. $A_1, \ldots, A_7$

Below we list $A_1(s, 1, q), \ldots, A_7(s, 1, q)$ up to order q^4 (though we calculated them up to order q^{30}).

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 =& 1 - \frac{5}{8s^3}\,q + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{s^2} + \frac{27}{8\,s^4} - \frac{45}{128\,s^6}\right)q^2 + \left(-\frac{6}{s} + \frac{375}{16\,s^3} - \frac{153}{8\,s^5} + \frac{273}{64\,s^7} - \frac{337}{1024\,s^9}\right)q^3 \\
&+ \left(-\frac{181}{8} + \frac{193}{2\,s^2} - \frac{2649}{16\,s^4} + \frac{30741}{256\,s^6} - \frac{4977}{128\,s^8} + \frac{6213}{1024\,s^{10}} - \frac{12097}{32768\,s^{12}}\right)q^4 + O(q^5) \\
A_2 =& 1 + \frac{1}{8}\,\frac{q}{s^3} + \left(-\frac{3}{2s^2} + \frac{3}{8s^4} + \frac{1}{128\,s^6} + \frac{3}{2}\right)q^2 + \left(\frac{181}{16\,s^3} - \frac{99}{16\,s^5} + \frac{75}{64\,s^7} - \frac{69}{1024\,s^9} - \frac{6}{s}\right)q^3 \\
&+ \left(\frac{14423}{256\,s^6} - \frac{5021}{32768\,s^{12}} + \frac{2763}{1024\,s^{10}} - \frac{699}{8\,s^4} - \frac{293}{8} + \frac{283}{4\,s^2} - \frac{4593}{256\,s^8}\right)q^4 + O(q^5), \\
A_3 =& 1 + \frac{3}{4}\,\frac{q}{s^3} + \left(3 - \frac{9}{4s^4} + \frac{3}{4s^6}\right)q^2 + \left(8\,s + \frac{27}{32\,s^9} + \frac{1}{s^3} - \frac{83}{16\,s^7} - \frac{6}{s} + \frac{15}{2s^5}\right)q^3 \\
&+ \left(-57 + 42\,s^2 - \frac{411}{16\,s^6} + \frac{8301}{8192\,s^{12}} - \frac{2421}{256\,s^{10}} - \frac{243}{16\,s^4} + \frac{51}{s^2} + \frac{3603}{128\,s^8}\right)q^4 + O(q^5) \\
A_4 =& 1 + \left(-1 + \frac{3}{2s^2} + \frac{1}{4s^3}\right)q + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{6}{s} + \frac{3}{4s^2} - \frac{25}{4\,s^3} - \frac{3}{4s^4} + \frac{5}{4s^5} + \frac{39}{128\,s^6}\right)q^2 \\
&+ \left(\frac{31}{2} - \frac{159}{4\,s^2} - \frac{19}{8\,s^3} + \frac{285}{8\,s^4} + \frac{61}{16\,s^5} - \frac{1639}{128\,s^6} - \frac{21}{8\,s^7} + \frac{389}{256\,s^8} + \frac{215}{512\,s^9}\right)q^3
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\left(56\,s-\frac{85}{8}-\frac{165}{s}+\frac{39}{8\,s^2}+\frac{2141}{8\,s^3}+\frac{6}{s^4}-\frac{3819}{16\,s^5}-\frac{5991}{256\,s^6}+\frac{7083}{64\,s^7}+\frac{10127}{512\,s^8}-\frac{12691}{512\,s^9}-\frac{779}{128\,s^{10}}+\frac{133}{64\,s^{11}}\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{20047}{32768\,s^{12}}\right)q^4+O(q^5)\\
A_5=&1+\left(-2+\frac{1}{s^2}\right)q+\left(-6\,s+4-\frac{1}{2s^2}-\frac{9}{2s^3}-\frac{1}{4s^4}+\frac{5}{8s^5}+\frac{5}{64\,s^6}+\frac{6}{s}\right)q^2\\
&+\left(20+24\,s-16\,s^2-\frac{237}{32\,s^6}+\frac{9}{64\,s^9}+\frac{3}{s^3}-\frac{9}{8\,s^7}+\frac{26}{s^4}-\frac{12}{s}-\frac{67}{2\,s^2}+\frac{7}{4s^5}+\frac{45}{64\,s^8}\right)q^3\\
&+\left(-100+86\,s+134\,s^2-56\,s^3-\frac{357}{32\,s^6}-\frac{831}{64\,s^9}+\frac{1871}{8192\,s^{12}}+\frac{219}{s^3}-\frac{701}{256\,s^{10}}+\frac{1101}{16\,s^7}-\frac{173}{8\,s^4}-\frac{141}{s}+\frac{72}{s^2}\right.\\
&\left.-\frac{5545}{32\,s^5}+\frac{1369}{128\,s^8}+\frac{237}{256\,s^{11}}\right)q^4+O(q^5)\\
A_6=&1+\left(-2\,s-\frac{1}{2s^2}-\frac{1}{8s^3}\right)q+\left(-4\,s^2-2\,s-\frac{2}{s}-\frac{1}{2s^2}+\frac{9}{4s^3}+\frac{1}{s^4}-\frac{1}{4s^5}-\frac{1}{8s^6}\right)q^2\\
&+\left(-8\,s^2+10\,s+6+\frac{3}{s}+\frac{13}{s^2}+\frac{51}{8\,s^3}-\frac{43}{4\,s^4}-\frac{217}{32\,s^5}+\frac{83}{32\,s^6}+\frac{57}{32\,s^7}-\frac{27}{128\,s^8}-\frac{77}{512\,s^9}\right)q^3\\
&+\left(-24\,s^3+92\,s^2+52\,s+10+\frac{23}{s}-\frac{1}{2s^2}-\frac{321}{4\,s^3}-\frac{427}{8\,s^4}+\frac{949}{16\,s^5}+\frac{767}{16\,s^6}-\frac{657}{32\,s^7}-\frac{2281}{128\,s^8}+\frac{869}{256\,s^9}\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{195}{64\,s^{10}}-\frac{55}{256\,s^{11}}-\frac{101}{512\,s^{12}}\right)q^4+O(q^5)\\
A_7=&1+\left(24\,s-\frac{6}{s}\right)q+\left(360\,s^2-180+\frac{30}{s^2}-\frac{9}{4s^4}+\frac{3}{32\,s^6}\right)q^2\\
&+\left(4160\,s^3-3200\,s+\frac{1020}{s}-\frac{210}{s^3}+\frac{135}{4\,s^5}-\frac{55}{16\,s^7}+\frac{5}{32\,s^9}\right)q^3\\
&+\left(40560\,s^4-43380\,s^2+20280-\frac{6480}{s^2}+\frac{7065}{4\,s^4}-\frac{6255}{16\,s^6}+\frac{975}{16\,s^8}-\frac{735}{128\,s^{10}}+\frac{495}{2048\,s^{12}}\right)q^4+O(q^5).
\end{aligned}
$$

References

[BE] A. Braverman and P. Etingof, *Instanton counting via affine Lie algebras II: from Whittaker vectors to the Seiberg-Witten prepotential*, Studies in Lie theory, Progr. Math. 243, 61–78, Birkhäuser, Boston (2006).

[BLN] L. Baulieu, A. Losev, and N. Nekrasov, *Chern-Simons and twisted supersymmetry in various dimensions*, Nuclear Phys. B 522 (1998) 82–104.

[EGL] G. Ellingsrud, L. Göttsche, and M. Lehn, *On the cobordism class of the Hilbert scheme of a surface*, Jour. Alg. Geom. 10 (2001) 81–100.

[FG] B. Fantechi and L. Göttsche, *Riemann-Roch theorems and elliptic genus for virtually smooth schemes*, Geom. Topol. 14 (2010) 83–115.

[FM] R. Friedman and J. W. Morgan, *Obstruction bundles, semiregularity and Seiberg-Witten invariants*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 7 (1999) 451–495.

[GH] L. Göttsche and D. Huybrechts, *Hodge numbers of moduli spaces of stable bundles on K3 surfaces*, Int. J. Math. 7 (1996) 359–372.

[GK] L. Göttsche and M. Kool, *in preparation.*

[GNY1] L. Göttsche, H. Nakajima, and K. Yoshioka, *Instanton counting and Donaldson invariants*, J. Diff. Geom. 80 (2008) 343–390.

[GNY2] L. Göttsche, H. Nakajima, and K. Yoshioka, *K-theoretic Donaldson invariants via instanton counting*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 5 (2009) 1029–1111.

[GNY3] L. Göttsche, H. Nakajima, and K. Yoshioka, *Donaldson = Seiberg-Witten from Mochizuki's formula and instanton counting*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 47 (2011) 307–359.

[Got1] L. Göttsche, *Change of polarization and Hodge numbers of moduli spaces of torsion free sheaves on surfaces*, Math. Z. 223 (1996) 247–260.

[Got2] L. Göttsche, *Theta functions and Hodge numbers of moduli spaces of sheaves on rational surfaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. 206 (1999) 105–136.

[GS] L. Göttsche, W. Soergel, *Perverse sheaves and the cohomology of Hilbert schemes of smooth algebraic surfaces*, Math. Ann. 296 (1993) 235–245.

[GSY] A. Gholampour, A. Sheshmani, and S.-Y. Yau, *Localized Donaldson-Thomas theory of surfaces*, arXiv:1701.08902.

[HL] D. Huybrechts, M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Cambridge University Press (2010).

[Huy] D. Huybrechts, *Compact hyper-Kähler manifolds: basic results*, Invent. Math. 135 (1999) 63–113.

[Joy] D. Joyce, *Configurations in abelian categories. IV. Invariants and changing stability conditions*, Adv. Math. 217 (2008) 125–204.

[Kim] S.-O. Kim, *Noether-Lefschetz locus for surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 324 (1991) 369–384.

[Kly] A. A. Klyachko, *Vector bundles and torsion free sheaves on the projective plane*, preprint Max Planck Institut für Mathematik (1991).

[LLZ] J. Li, K. Liu, and J. Zhou, *Topological string partition functions as equivariant indices*, Asian J. Math. 10 (2006) 81–114.

[LNS] A. Losev, N. Nekrasov, and S. Shatashvili, *Issues in topological gauge theory*, Nuclear Phys. B 534 (1998) 549–611.

[LQ1] W.-P. Li and Z. Qin, *On blowup formulae for the S-duality conjecture of Vafa and Witten*, Invent. Math. 136 (1999) 451–482.

[LQ2] W.-P. Li and Z. Qin, *On blowup formulae for the S-duality conjecture of Vafa and Witten II: the universal functions*, Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998) 439–453.

[MNOP] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, and R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, I*, Compos. Math. 142 (2006) 1263–1285.

[Moc] T. Mochizuki, *Donaldson type invariants for algebraic surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1972, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2009).

[Mor] J. W. Morgan, *The Seiberg-Witten equations and applications to the topology of smooth four-manifolds*, Math. Notes 44, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ (1996).

[Nek1] N. Nekrasov, *Four dimensional holomorphic theories*, PhD Thesis, Princeton (1996).

[Nek2] N. Nekrasov, *Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 7 (2003) 831–864.

[NO] N. Nekrasov and A. Okounkov, *Seiberg-Witten prepotential and random partitions*, The unity of mathematics, 525–596, Progr. Math. 244, Birkhäuser, Boston (2006).

[NY1] H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, *Lectures on instanton counting*, Algebraic structures and moduli spaces, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes vol. 38, AMS Providence RI, 31–101 (2004).

[NY2] H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, *Instanton counting on blowup. I. 4-dimensional pure gauge theory*, Invent. Math. 162 (2005) 313–355.

[Pan] R. Pandharipande, *Descendents for stable pairs on 3-folds*, arXiv:1703.01747.

[She] J. Shen, *Cobordism invariants of the moduli space of stable pairs*, J. London Math. Soc. 94 (2016) 427–446.

[TT1] Y. Tanaka and R. P. Thomas, *Vafa-Witten invariants for projective surfaces I: stable case*, arXiv:1702.08487.

[TT2] Y. Tanaka and R. P. Thomas, *Vafa-Witten invariants for projective surfaces II: semistable case*, arXiv:1702.08488.

[VW] C. Vafa and E. Witten, *A strong coupling test of S-duality*, Nucl. Phys. B 431 (1994) 3–77.

[Yos1] K. Yoshioka, *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on* $\mathbb{P}^2$, J. Reine Angew. Math. 453 (1994) 193–220.

[Yos2] K. Yoshioka, *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on a ruled surface*, Math. Ann. 302 (1995) 519–540.

[Yos3] K. Yoshioka, *Number of* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-rational points of the moduli of stable sheaves on elliptic surfaces*, Moduli of vector bundles, editor: M. Maruyama, Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 179, Marcel Dekker, New York (1996).

[Yos4] K. Yoshioka, *Some examples of Mukai's reflections on K3 surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 515 (1999) 97–123.

gottsche@ictp.trieste.it, m.kool1@uu.nl